

FFWPU Europe and the Middle East: Appeal Launched in Japan After Contentious Court Ruling

Knut Holdhus
April 8, 2025



Norishige Kondo - left - Deputy Director of the Legal Affairs Bureau of the [Family Federation for World Peace and Unification](#), responds to questions from the media on the morning April 7, in Kasumigaseki, Tokyo



Norishige Kondo answering questions from the media outside Tokyo High Court 7th April 2025

Contentious and allegedly unlawful verdict by Tokyo District Court promptly appealed to higher court as uphill battle against blatant state persecution continues

Tokyo, 7th April 2025 - Published as an article in the Japanese newspaper [Sekai Nippo](#). Republished with permission. Translated from Japanese. [Original article](#).

Family Federation Files Immediate Appeal Against Tokyo District Court's Dissolution Order

by the editorial department of [Sekai Nippo](#)

On 7th April, the [Family Federation for World Peace and Unification](#) (formerly the [Unification Church](#)) filed an immediate appeal with the Tokyo High Court, opposing the Tokyo District

Court's decision to [order its dissolution](#). This is the first [dissolution order](#) based on a "wrongful acts according to civil code", and the [religious organization](#) had previously indicated its intention to challenge the ruling.

In a statement, the [organization](#) said:

"The [dissolution order](#) is a predetermined conclusion that disregards both law and facts."

Norishige Kondo (近藤徳茂), Deputy Director of the [religious organization](#)'s Legal Affairs Office, spoke to reporters in front of the Tokyo District Court, emphasizing that the court's finding of unlawful acts was based on presumptions, rather than proven facts:

"This is something that must not happen in a democratic society. We have no choice but to fight to the very end."

He stated that the written reasons for the appeal would be submitted within two weeks, adding:

"We will take our time to thoroughly respond."

In its 25th March [verdict](#), the Tokyo District Court stated that "an unprecedented, massive scale of harm had been caused," concluding that those acts constituted the "violations of the law" that are the legal requirements for dissolution set out in the Religious Corporations Act.

世界平和統一家庭連合

website of [Family Federation of Japan](#), where [statement about appeal](#) in dissolution case was published. See [original statement](#) in Japanese.

Related Articles:

- [Certain Appeal: Unprecedented Dissolution Order](#)
- [Critics Warn Japan of Dangerous Legal Precedent](#)

Excerpt from the [Statement](#) Issued by the [Family Federation](#):

Before the shooting of former Prime Minister Shinzo Abe (安倍 晋 三), the number of consultations received by consumer centers regarding [our organization](#) in 2020 and 2021 made up only about 0.003% of total cases.

The 32 civil rulings cited by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) as reasons for dissolution all pertain to donation-related cases from over 11 years ago, with the average time since the plaintiffs were proselytized being about 32 years.

Since our 2009 compliance declaration [See editor's note below], we have promoted internal reform, resulting in a reduction of over 90% in both the number of lawsuits and claims via written notices. Complaints to the Consumer Affairs Agency have also become virtually nonexistent.

The group strongly opposes what it calls an unnecessary request for dissolution, stating that even discussing the group's dissolution is merely a political maneuver or pandering to public opinion.



Japanese judges using "speculative reasoning", instead of evidence-based, in order to dissolve religious minority organization

The Tokyo District Court, while acknowledging the clear decline in incidents since the compliance declaration [See editor's note below], nonetheless ruled based on speculative reasoning about potential undisclosed cases - an action that the [Family Federation](#) says clearly violates the principle of evidence-based trials.

"This [decision](#) seems to have reached a preordained conclusion, ignoring both facts and law."

According to the [statement](#), following the court decision, persecution against the [organization](#)'s churches and believers has intensified, threatening Japan's democracy and violating the human rights and right to life of its followers - Japanese citizens.

The [Family Federation](#) concludes with a warning:

"The judiciary's role is to make impartial decisions, regardless of political motives or public opinion pressures. We sincerely hope that the judiciary will not break the foundational principles of democracy - such as the rule of law and legalism - or assist in religious persecution in defiance of international law."

[Editor's note: The 2009 compliance declaration of the [Unification Church](#) of Japan (now the [Family Federation for World Peace and Unification](#)) was a formal commitment by the [organization](#) to reform its practices in response to longstanding public criticism and legal challenges.

The [Unification Church](#) in Japan had faced numerous allegations related to recruitment tactics and donation solicitation, termed "spiritual sales" (靈感商法) by a hostile network of activist lawyers who had declared the [religious organization](#) an enemy. These issues led to multiple lawsuits orchestrated by the activist lawyers and significant media backlash. This prompted the [organization](#) to take measures to

restore its reputation and demonstrate compliance with legal and ethical standards.

The [religious organization](#) pledged to stop possibly unethical donation practices, including what the hostile network of lawyers claimed amounted to "pressuring members into making large financial contributions under spiritual pretexts."

This was in response to accusations from the same activist lawyers that followers "were being manipulated into giving away substantial amounts of money or property."

The [Unification Church](#) stated it would enhance internal oversight to ensure compliance with ethical and legal standards. Measures included better training for leaders and stricter guidelines for evangelization and solicitation of donations.

After this compliance declaration, there was a significant decrease in the number of lawsuits against the [Unification Church](#) - since 2015 called the [Family Federation](#). The [religious organization](#) has used this as evidence that it has improved its practices and should not be subject to [dissolution](#).]

Related to contentious court ruling: [Japan Following the Way of China](#)

Also related to contentious court ruling: [Media/Legal Expert: Communism Behind Persecution](#)

Also related to contentious court ruling: ["Poorly Compiled Evidence Including Falsehoods"](#)

And also related to contentious court ruling: [Huge Scandal: "Ministry Is Falsifying Affidavits"](#)

Also related to contentious court ruling: [Japan's New Laws to Curb New Religious Movements](#)

Also related to contentious court ruling: [New Law Designed to Bankrupt Family Federation](#)

Also related to contentious court ruling: [Religious Faith Framed as Mental Health Concern](#)

Also related to contentious court ruling: [Terms from Consumer Law Used to Rob Faith](#)

And also related to contentious court ruling: [New Law Manufacturing "Victims", Dividing Families](#)

And also related to contentious court ruling: [Maverick Minister Lets "3 Foxes in the Henhouse"](#)

More, related to contentious court ruling: [Journalist Reveals Ugly Leftwing Conspiracy](#)

And more, related to contentious court ruling: [Militant Lawyers Dictate Government Policy](#)

And more, related to contentious court ruling: [Media Helping Terrorist Reach His Goal](#)

Related to contentious court ruling: [Kishida Administration Giving in to Terrorism](#)

Related to contentious court ruling: [Bizarre Atlantic Report Condoning Terror](#)

Related to contentious court ruling: [Japan Times: Warning of Terror](#)

Related to contentious court ruling: [Did constant hate-mongering cause terror?](#)

Also related to contentious court ruling: [Dissolution Case: Wild Claims, Illegal Actions](#)

Also related to contentious court ruling: [2nd-Generation Members Protesting Persecution](#)

Also related to contentious court ruling: [Inhuman Government-Supported Mass Deprogramming](#)

And also related to contentious court ruling: [Bias: No Right to Respond for Religious Minority](#)

More, related to contentious court ruling: [Collusion to Rob Minority of Its Rights](#)

And more, related to contentious court ruling: [State and Media Creating "Today's Non-Citizens"](#)

Still more, related to contentious court ruling: [Japan Criticized for Glaring Rights Violations](#)

Even more, related to contentious court ruling: [Two European Scholars Warning Japan](#)

And yet more, related to contentious court ruling: [Japan Censured at Religious Freedom Event](#)

And even more, related to contentious court ruling: [Inhuman Government-Supported Mass Deprogramming](#)

And still more, related to contentious court ruling: [Malicious One-Sided Government Source Selection](#)

More, related to contentious court ruling: [Government's Foul Play Pointed Out](#)

FFWPU Files Appeal, Citing Speculative Verdict


• April 7, 2025 • Knut Holdhus



The Family Federation of Japan files appeal against Tokyo District Court’s dissolution order decision described as preordained and speculative verdict

Press release issued by the public relations department of the Family Federation of Japan 7th April concerning the appeal to the Tokyo High Court of the dissolution order case lost in Tokyo District Court. See original article in Japanese.

On 7th April, our



Sign outside Tokyo District Court. Photo: Screenshot from FFWPU video.

organization filed an appeal regarding the decision made by the

Share:

- More Posts
- Appeal Launched After Contentious Court Ruling

April 8, 2025
- Balcomb On BBC: Slams Verdict As Xenophobic

April 6, 2025
- City's Facility Ban Disregards Ongoing Appeal

April 5, 2025
- Critics Warn Japan Of Dangerous Legal Precedent

April 4, 2025
- Fury As Believers Slam State Over Unjust Ruling

April 3, 2025
- Japan's Dissolution Case Echoes China's Playbook

April 2, 2025
- FFWPU's Strong Rebuttal Of Dissolution Order

April 1, 2025

Search...

Categories

organization filed an appeal regarding the decision made by the Tokyo District Court on 25th March concerning the request for a dissolution order.

Since being certified as a religious corporation 60 years ago, our organization has not been involved in a single criminal case. In terms of civil cases, only two instances over these 60 years have resulted in findings of unlawful conduct allegedly committed by our organization.



Changing the law overnight: Former Prime Minister **Fumio Kishida**. Here, at press conference 14th August 2024. Photo: 首相官邸 (PMO) / Wikimedia Commons. License: CC Attr 4.0 Int. Cropped

However, in October 2022, then-Prime Minister Fumio Kishida overnight abruptly reversed the government's previous legal interpretation overnight, declaring that civil law violations could also constitute grounds for dissolution of a religious corporation under the Religious Corporations Act as a "violation of laws and regulations".

We believe that dissolving a religious corporation based on such vague civil

infractions should never be permitted. The series of actions by the government – from the exercise of the "right to question" to the petition for a dissolution order – are nothing short of religious persecution by the state, specifically targeting our organization, and are in violation of the Constitution.

Before the shooting of former Prime Minister Shinzo Abe, the number of consultations received by consumer centers regarding our organization in 2020 and 2021 made up only about 0.003% of total cases. Moreover, the 32 civil rulings cited by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) as reasons for dissolution all pertain to donation-related cases from over 11 years ago, with the average time since the plaintiffs were proselytized being about 32 years.

Since our 2009 compliance declaration [See editor's note below], we have promoted internal reform, resulting in a reduction of over 90% in both the number of lawsuits and claims via written notices. Complaints to the Consumer Affairs Agency have also become virtually nonexistent. There is no necessity for a dissolution petition, and even discussing the dissolution of our organization is purely a political move or pandering to public opinion.



Norishige Kondo (rear) and another FFWPU representative leaving Tokyo High Court after delivering the appeal documents 7th April 2025. Photo: Screenshot from FFWPU video.

The Tokyo District Court acknowledged that cases of misconduct have clearly decreased since our compliance declaration [See editor's note below]. Yet it went so far as to issue a dissolution order based on speculative recognition of unreported cases, thereby violating the principle of evidentiary trial. This decision seems to have reached a preordained conclusion, ignoring both facts and law.

Furthermore, the court ignored several critical facts: that more than half of the plaintiffs in the 32 civil cases were former members who left the faith under coercion, such as abduction and forcible faith-breaking; that some of the statements by former members compiled by MEXT were fabricated; and that testimonies by so-called "apostates" lack credibility.

Send us a message

First Name *

Last Name

Email *

Email Address

Your Message *

Submit

The most noteworthy aspect of this [decision](#) is its blatant disregard for UN recommendations and violation of international law. The *United Nations Human Rights Committee* has issued three recommendations to the Japanese government, stating that religious expression should not be restricted on the grounds of “public welfare”. Yet, Article 81, Paragraph 1, Item 1 of the *Religious Corporations Act*, which MEXT cited as the legal basis for the [dissolution order](#), includes “infringement of public welfare” as a requirement. Thus, using this provision as a basis for a dissolution order is a clear violation of these recommendations, and the *Tokyo District Court’s* decision constitutes a direct breach of international law.

Following this [ruling](#), persecution against our churches and members has intensified. Democracy in Japan is under threat for the personal gain of a handful of politicians and bureaucrats, while the human rights and right to live of our believers, who are Japanese citizens, are being violated.

The judiciary’s role is to make impartial decisions, regardless of political motives or public opinion pressures. We sincerely hope that the judiciary will not break the foundational principles of democracy – such as the rule of law and legalism – or assist in religious persecution in defiance of international law.

[Our organization](#) is committed to fighting with all our strength to prevent this.

[Editor’s note: The [2009 compliance declaration](#) of the Unification Church of Japan (now the *Family Federation for World Peace and Unification*) was a formal commitment by the [organization](#) to reform its practices in response to longstanding public criticism and legal challenges.

The Unification Church in Japan had faced numerous allegations related to recruitment tactics and donation solicitation, termed “spiritual sales” (靈感商法) by a hostile network of activist lawyers who had declared the [religious organization](#) an enemy. These issues led to multiple lawsuits orchestrated by the activist lawyers and significant media backlash. This prompted the [organization](#) to take measures to restore its reputation and demonstrate compliance with legal and ethical standards.

The [religious organization](#) pledged to stop possibly unethical donation practices, including what the hostile network of lawyers claimed amounted to “pressuring members into making large financial contributions under spiritual pretexts.”

This was in response to accusations from the same activist lawyers that followers “were being manipulated into giving away substantial amounts of money or property.”

The Unification Church stated it would enhance internal oversight to ensure compliance with ethical and legal standards. Measures included better training for leaders and stricter guidelines for evangelization and solicitation of donations.

After this compliance declaration, there was a significant decrease in the number of lawsuits against the Unification Church – since 2015 called the *Family Federation*. The [religious organization](#) has used this as evidence that it has improved its practices and should not be subject to dissolution.]

Featured image above: Norishige Kondo (近藤徳茂), Deputy Director of the Legal Affairs Office at the *Family Federation* headquarters, outside the Tokyo High Court answering questions from media after handing in the appeal documents on 7th April 2025. Photo: Screenshot from [FFWPU](#) video.

Related to speculative verdict: [Japan Following the Way of China](#)

Also related to speculative verdict: [Media/Legal Expert: Communism Behind Persecution](#)

Also related to speculative verdict: [“Poorly Compiled Evidence Including Falsehoods”](#)

And also related to speculative verdict: [Huge Scandal: “Ministry Is Falsifying Affidavits”](#)

Also related to speculative verdict: [Japan’s New Laws to Curb New Religious Movements](#)

Also related to speculative verdict: [New Law Designed to Bankrupt Family Federation](#)

Also related to speculative verdict: [Religious Faith Framed as Mental Health Concern](#)

Also related to speculative verdict: [Terms from Consumer Law Used to Rob Faith](#)

And also related to speculative verdict: [New Law Manufacturing "Victims", Dividing Families](#)

And also related to speculative verdict: [Maverick Minister Lets "3 Foxes in the Henhouse"](#)

More, related to speculative verdict: [Journalist Reveals Ugly Leftwing Conspiracy](#)

And more, related to speculative verdict: [Militant Lawyers Dictate Government Policy](#)

And more, related to speculative verdict: [Media Helping Terrorist Reach His Goal](#)

Related to speculative verdict: [Kishida Administration Giving in to Terrorism](#)

Related to speculative verdict: [Bizarre Atlantic Report Condoning Terror](#)

Related to speculative verdict: [Japan Times: Warning of Terror](#)

Related to speculative verdict: [Did constant hate-mongering cause terror?](#)

Also related to speculative verdict: [Dissolution Case: Wild Claims, Illegal Actions](#)

Also related to speculative verdict: [2nd-Generation Members Protesting Persecution](#)

Also related to speculative verdict: [Inhuman Government-Supported Mass Deprogramming](#)

And also related to speculative verdict: [Bias: No Right to Respond for Religious Minority](#)

More, related to speculative verdict: [Collusion to Rob Minority of Its Rights](#)

And more, related to speculative verdict: [State and Media Creating "Today's Non-Citizens"](#)

Still more, related to speculative verdict: [Japan Criticized for Glaring Rights Violations](#)

Even more, related to speculative verdict: [Two European Scholars Warning Japan](#)

And yet more, related to speculative verdict: [Japan Censured at Religious Freedom Event](#)

And even more, related to speculative verdict: [Inhuman Government-Supported Mass Deprogramming](#)

And still more, related to speculative verdict: [Malicious One-Sided Government Source Selection](#)

More, related to speculative verdict: [Government's Foul Play Pointed Out](#)

« Previous Next »
[Balcomb On BBC: Slams Verdict... Appeal Launched After Conte...](#)

Follow us



■ [Contact us](#) [Privacy Policy](#) [Terms of Service](#) [Cookie Policy](#) [Sitemap](#) [Feedback](#)