

## Four Questions for Reverend Rigney in America

Jhun Modequillo  
February 24, 2011

### **No one is exempted by the law**

It had been stated in the Principle of Creation that when a sinless man and woman enters to the crucial stage on the growth level of the growing period they must fulfil the **marriage ceremony** under the second blessing. These would mean that the realization of the second blessing relies to the success of the marriage ceremony which is directly arranged by God for his children's perfection's justifications. Without the so called Marriage ceremony under the 2<sup>nd</sup> Blessing that man and woman remain in unsecured position.

Obviously, base on the Principle of Creation, the 3<sup>rd</sup> Blessing is only achievable if the said marriage ceremony under the administration of the second Blessing is completed. This law has no exception, everyone is required by the Principle to pass through this process. Even True Father can not deny this fact because he himself discovers this Principle.

If the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Adam is required by the Principle to fulfill the marriage ceremony of the Second Blessing for them to entering the Kingdom of heaven, why not for the 3<sup>rd</sup> Adam? The True Father as the 3<sup>rd</sup> Adam is also compulsory to pass that way. Without passing through the marriage ceremony under the 2<sup>nd</sup> Blessing, the True parents could never enter the Kingdom of heaven that is the order of the Principle. Who am I to judge? Just study the Principle and surely you will find out the same answer. Otherwise ask directly the True Parents.

This theory is originated from the book of exposition which accordingly, the marriage ceremony of the Second Blessing is the key of perfection. The Unification church could never disagree with it because this law is definitely mandatory to all of the children of God and mandatory for God himself. If the law of the growth principle is mandatory to Adam, Jesus and even to God of course this is also mandatory for the True Parents.

If the True Parents can enter to the Kingdom of God without having a marriage ceremony under the Second Blessing, that means, the Divine Principle who exists before God is not absolute.

According to Mother God **“The role on the book of exposition is to reveal my will being but it is just an exposition and they must begin in there. Tell them that if they will not believe in me there will be no salvation for them.”** (Message received May 22, 2010 Gelyn)

The Unification church should have to think about it. As children, we are responsible to prepare the departure of our True father from the Physical world to the spirit world. This is not the time to argue who will inherit the leadership like what happen in Mongolia, France and Philippines; this is not the time to argue who will inherit the church properties. This is the time for the Unification church leaders to repent especially to the leaders who abuse their position using the name of True Parents.

To rev. Rigney, I disagree your opinion saying **“If you accused the Unification church leaders you also accuse the True Parents and God”** your opinion is similar to the religious people before the birth of Christianity which was also inherited by unification church today. To tell the truth behind the Unification leadership is not accusation, there is hundreds of evidence behind it. Not because someone is a Unification church leader no one is authorize to tell them that they are wrong. And if the church continues to tolerate the leadership who abuse their authority by using the name True parents and Divine Principle, the church spirituality will surely collapse. We have so many experiences this reality on the

past history of restoration. Of course the church still standing physically but the Spirit of God may go away from them.

We have learned from True Father that the Israelites were the most loved of God during the Old Testament era. But when they fail to understand the Providence centered on Jesus, God can not do anything but to abandon them. I believed that Unification church is the most love of God of today's era of completion but if their blindness of the providence and greediness of power grips the church, I don't think God will stay with them.

Rev. Rigney also mention on his letter that **he never find any difference between the exposition and the Divine Principle**, it wasn't make me surprise, if Rev. Rigney represent the voice of UTS around the world, then how the UTS teaches their students about the role of the Principle of Restoration and the role of the Principle of Creation? Being a member of the Unification church I knew very much that there are large numbers of the Unification church members and leaders who believed that the book of **Exposition of the Divine Principle** is the **Divine Principle** itself. Without knowing that the Divine Principle is not the book of exposition it is actually anything that has absolute give and take action or anything that has nature of absoluteness base on God's law is Divine Principle and the rest who is under the Principle Restoration is only temporary. Many of the Unification Church members and leaders mistakenly think that the Principle of Restoration is also the Divine Principle. This perceptive is absolutely wrong, the type of understanding which is obstacle of God's developing word. This letter is not offensive Rev. Rigney, because this is the real story of the Unification church today.

**The word "Negative, Resentment and accusation" is being use as a defensive word of the Unification church leaders**

When members of Unification Church disagree the wrong concept or decision of the church leaders, the word **"Negative and resentment"** is the first to come out from the leader's mouth. The word **"Negative and resentment"** is largely use by the church leaders against the member who disagree their wrong directions. Sometimes they will use this word to get the attention of other leaders of the church to be in their side. Most elders who use this word is very arrogant, hypocrite and most violator on the Principle.

This word is also the primary tools for the church leaders against the family members who will not follow their unreal ideas, especially if the complain of the said member matters their inequity. The church must stop using this word because it's not applicable of today's generation.

**I have a few questions to Rev. Rigney:**

1. Is the Conditional Blessing is intended for the Sinless Generation or intended for the Sinners?
2. If the conditional Blessing is intended for the Sinners only, then why it was given to the second Generation, if the Second Generation is sinless?
3. If the Conditional Blessing is also intended for the Sinless Generation then why the formula of this Blessing is base on Restoration?
4. The Conditional Blessing is under the Principle of Restoration, can anyone enter to the Kingdom of heaven without passing through the Second Blessing under the Principle of Creation?

Please I am expecting your answer, thanks for reading,

**Jhun & Gelyn Modequillo**  
Blessed Couple - WA