

A divine answer regarding Hyung Jin Nim's split from True mother

Derek Dey
July 20, 2015



There is no argument about Hyung Jin Nim's inauguration/ anointment, We read of it and we see it visibly but what happened before and afterwards is a concern. We already know that the True children suffered abandonment with the absence of their parents, failures in parenting from those given that responsibility (36 couples), abuse at school, immersion into a culture which is often despicable, and so on.

Hyung Jin talks of his real parents arguing and posts his own record of anger which he says is barely controllable during his martial arts sessions then says:

“We, as (True) children of True Father, have **한** (han / grudge / resentment) in our life where we had to distance ourselves from him. (Raising his voice) we could not see him through our whole lives. True Children couldn't be with Father throughout their lives. We have lots of **한**. We wanted to be with him. We have lots of **한**.” - Hyung Jin Nim's Martial Arts report, Feb 19th 2012.

From some of the other children various anger problems, addictions including those of a sexual nature, are evident in their lives. Much of this is symptomatic of trauma, the pain of abandonment and various forms of abuse. Likewise from a broad understanding of trauma much of this pain does not go away easily, with some of it being buried and inaccessible almost in one's subconscious.

Anger resentment and sexual problems go hand in hand, often sink into an unconscious level but this doesn't mean it goes away.

A Legacy is passed on to children on the death of parents or when parents sign it over because of illness or aging. Normally anything like this does not come into effect until after both parents sign off or die. So here lies a question as to when someone takes ownership of such a legacy.

In the case of Hyung Jin and his Mother there is a difference of opinion here and problems with who said what. Let's say for argument sake that his Mother is wrong, disqualified, or even dysfunctional, then how do we proceed?

The story of David is common to Divine Principle and to lectures on Father's lineage. Biblical David is described as a man after God's own heart in Samuel 13:14. David is also in the direct lineage of Jesus who is yet to come. In David's time Saul was anointed King and David became part of his court. In short Saul became mad and tried to kill David. Distressing spirits came to Saul and David responded by trying to help and to play music to Saul when he was in fits of depression. 1Samuel 18 talks of all this but eventually Saul is driven mad by spirits unleashed and killed in battle. David is anointed King by the prophet Samuel (1 Samuel 16). This tradition is written into the lineage or Messianic line. What does it mean?



Samuel anoints David as King

In terms of being anointed as a successor it sometimes involves more than just accepting the task at hand. In this case David acted in a very Abel like manner towards Saul even when he tried to kill him. It is a classic strategy of loving the enemy, which Unificationists might be familiar with. Moreover in acting this way it sets a condition for God to be present and it is actually God who brings an enduring answer to the difficult circumstances David found himself in. David did not just rebel take his loyal followers and attack Saul or set up his own kingdom. He sought God's help and in the end was clearly anointed as the new king and the person whose lineage became the direct line to the Christ. Put simply in one way a person feels called to serve, a winning strategy from heaven, whereas another might move to distance oneself or to rebel.

Mother stated she waited for her sons (Hyung Jin and Kook Jin) for three years. In the process Hyung Jin led the ACLC American Clergy Leadership Conference on Dec 15th 2012. During the conference he said he was thinking a lot about Father's core teaching and it was about absolute sex. He went on to talk about sex in front of the clergy and then announced the church should be called the Absolute Good Sex Movement or Good sex Church. The Clergy were somewhat taken aback then came round to the idea but not naturally so, rather perhaps out of loyalty.

The point is taken. It is one way to describe Father's tradition but in one sense it's incomplete and the name would naturally draw fire from the media and the Christian world as we know from the history of persecution which, many of us shared. The second point is that sex is not necessarily love and when one is emphasized above the other as sex was, it becomes somewhat discreditable in relation to the tradition which fuses sex with love but places love in a higher order.

This video of which I speak, "Hyung Jin Moon Absolute Good Sex Movement (Dec. 15, 2012)," has been taken off YouTube but was a point of contention with Mother. So here we also have part of the difficult issues lying between Mother and her son. This problem also offers us disputable issues, leading to divisions into different camps with different loyalties. It is as if another realm of the historical record (church history) is telling us schisms do a disservice to the heart and once started, only await conflict resolution as Catholics, Gnostics, Cathars, and Protestants of various stripes tell us. Moreover they become more difficult to heal as the gap widens and as the years go by. This is the nature of schismatic thinking, wherein Principles become obscure.

Others including Hyo Jin, Hyung Jin, In Jin Nim and others, have had struggles in their lives not dissimilar to what has been already mentioned regarding the effects of trauma. Who knows where these people will end up or what they might yet accomplish? However there are processes of healing and there are processes of denial evident in some; but my point is processes of healing are inviolable. I think in this case, reality, some of which is self-evident, calls not for accusation but for aforethought, prayer and bridge building by those who can.