Honoring True Father's Legacy?

Richard A. Panzer June 21, 2015

"Who is going to change the blood lineage? Not just anyone can do that. You must know that in order to do this work, I walked the way of the tearful cross." [1]


On this Father's Day 2015, I'd like to invite you to consider how we are honoring True Father's words and legacy in the essay below. But first, check out a powerful message from Hyung Jin Nim about how recent archeological research refutes concerted efforts by academic skeptics to question the historical truth of the Bible, on which the revelations of the Divine Principle are based.



Honoring True Father's Legacy?

To put it mildly, Unificationists are living in an unexpected time of confusion. Our eyes have seen things we never imagined they would ever see. Our ears have heard things we never dreamt they would ever hear!

In the midst of the current debate in the Unification Movement regarding her youngest son Hyung Jin Nim's outspoken objections to Mother's claims, many are tempted to stay on the sidelines, thinking that this conflict in the True Family "has nothing to do with me and my family."



Father reminded us of words spoken two thousand years ago, "Jesus said you will know the truth, and the truth will set you free!"[2]

At this time it is critical that we base our faith on what is true and what is based on the truth.

What do True Father and Jesus have to say that is relevant to this conflict? Or are their views no longer as important since they are "gone"?

Mother claims that she was born sinless, unlike Father who she says was not restored until he met Jesus. She further claims that "no one educated me."

On July 1, 2014 she said: "The process of changing the lineage occurred while I was in my mother's womb. This is something you have to believe... The moment when True Father succeeded Jesus' mission, when Jesus appeared to him this qualified True Father as God's only begotten son. Do you understand? This is something you have to know."[3]

On October 27, 2014 she said, "Nobody educated me... You cannot say that God's only begotten son educated God's only begotten daughter." [4]

But according to Father, Hak Ja Han was not born sinless. He initiated a process in which she could be

restored during a seven year course from the position of fallen Eve. Father said that an Eve must be restored. A sinless person does not need to be restored.

"Therefore, to uproot the original sin, which he could not remove through the crucifixion, and to complete the work of physical salvation, Jesus must come again on earth. Only then will the purpose of God's work of salvation be fulfilled both spiritually and physically." [5]

"The first seven years after the Holy Wedding Ceremony were the period to raise up Mother with heavenly education. During this period, Father continually prayed for this, day and night. Even Mother didn't know all the significance behind this period, but Father substantially restored the heavenly daughter, heavenly spouse and the standard of True Parents, all of which were lost by the fall." [6]

"In transforming the lineage, unless the condition for victory is fulfilled inside Adam's bone marrow and the core of His flesh and blood, through binding God's love to the seed that will be the child in the future, God's child cannot be born. This is a logical certainty. Is this not recorded in the Bible? If so, the Bible is surely God's word. [7]

If Mother were born sinless, *she would be the Messiah*. In that case, she wouldn't have needed to meet Father. *He would have needed to meet her...*

But Hak Ja Han was not chosen by Jesus of Nazareth as the returning Messiah to bring the Providence to completion. He chose an Adamic figure - Sun Myung Moon.

In effect, by stating that she was born sinless and not educated by him, isn't she calling Father a liar?

If you choose to believe her, *that is your decision*, but you need to be clear that you are choosing to believe the opposite of what True Father spoke about these issues during *nearly six decades of ministry*. Good luck with your new theology and new religion...

Hak Ja Han was chosen among brides. While certainly prepared in a special way, she was nevertheless a fallen Eve who had to be raised up and restored by going "through the bone marrow" of the Messiah, the third Adam.

This should be a point of eternal pride for her, that of all women on the face of the earth, she completed the mission of restored Eve, where Father's previous two brides could not. The whole human race, on earth and in the spirit world, should be singing her praises as the victorious bride of Christ who became our True Mother.



Instead, by choosing to elevate herself *above* True Father, she has caused a spiritual catastrophe, *whose effects cannot be underestimated*. In this context, *is it an accident* that she chose to sit on the right side position, Father's throne, last month in Vienna and in Las Vegas?

How do you feel seeing Mother sit on Father's throne? Bewildered? Confused? Indifferent? Bothered that anyone would ask this question?

Did Mother ever do this while he was alive? Of course not. *She wouldn't have dared*.

Sitting on Father's throne is a reversal of roles in the True Parents' order. It means stealing his position. *She has no right to sit on the Messiah's throne.*

After so much sacrifice and effort for heaven, this is a most disturbing sign, further evidence of a tragic turn of events...

Did Hyung Jin Nim Choose to Leave Korea?

Many have asked me, "why did Hyung Jin Nim choose to leave Korea and abandon his position as president of the Korean FFWPU?" He didn't. He was forced out, at Mother's direction.

On September 30, 2012, two weeks after Hyung Jin Nim prayed in the name of Heavenly Father at Father's Seonghwa on September 15, 2012 despite Mother's direction to pray in the name of "Heavenly Parents," the FFWPU of Korea removed him as president.

He was instructed to go to the U.S. to serve as President of HSA-UWC in America, which he did. There he called for the creation of local church councils with real authority to make decisions and to report transparently to brothers and sisters, quite the opposite of the new CIG constitution that he could not endorse. He and Yeonah Nim began to teach about one of Father's favorite topics, Absolute Sex, but were then told to stop.

A few months later he read in an email that he had already been fired from that position as well.

Do you think he may have intuited that his views about honoring Father's words and about the Principle being a document of liberation were not welcomed in Cheongpyeong? If so, why would he return?

Some brothers and sisters will not appreciate me bringing up these painful topics. Believe me, *I wish I didn't have to write a single one of these words*.

But refusing to look at what is actually happening does not lead any of us to a truthful relationship with God. Shouldn't that be the most important thing? If not, why did we join the Unification Church many years ago?

And if Father's words, covenants, position and lineage are being undermined, do we want to turn our backs away, and do nothing?

Honoring True Father's Legacy?

[1] Blessing and Ideal Family Chapter Three, Part 2, http://www.unification.net/bif/bif-3-2.html#2

[2] (56-327) Sun Myung Moon's Insights On The Heart Of God (Part 1 of 3) Compiled December 13, 2004. Translated from Chapter 4 of Holy Scripture, the thought of the Rev. Sun Myung Moon. http://www.tparents.org/Moon-Talks/moon-other/SunMyungMoon-Heart-1.htm

[3] True Mother's "A Time to be United, Inside and Out" speech, July 1, 2014 http://www.tparents.org/Moon-Talks/HakJaHanMoon-14/HakJaHan-140701a.pdf

[4] True Mother's Address at Cheon Jeong Gung Peace Palace, World Leaders' meeting October 27, 2014, https://vimeo.com/110521385

[5] Exposition of the Divine Principle, Chapter 4, "The Messiah: His Advent and the Purpose of His Second Coming," Section 1:4.

[6] Blessing and Ideal Family, Chapter 6, "The Holy Wedding Of True Parents And The Blessed Family," http://www.unification.net/bif/bif-6-1.html.

[7] CSG Book 8, Section 6.1, 35-162, 1970.10.13.

Sincerely,

Richard

Richard A. Panzer, Ph.D.