


FFWPU Europe and the Middle East: Alleged Attempt to Influence Witness Statement

Knut Holdhus
March 12, 2026



Mother Han (left) and Yoon Yeong-ho. The image of [Mother Han](#) is showing how she may well appear now, illustrated by Grok xAI, The image of Yoon is a screenshot from a [FFWPU](#) transmission in August 2020.



Allegations and denial as case against Hak Ja Han takes a new turn in latest hearing 10th March: Witness claims attempt to influence testimony

South Korean [MBC News](#) and several other news outlets reported on 10th March on a high-profile court case involving the leadership of the [Family Federation for World Peace and Unification](#) - also known as the [Unification Church](#). The trial has drawn significant public and media attention and centers on [Hak Ja Han](#) - also called [Mother Han](#) - the [religious movement](#)'s current leader. She is facing multiple legal charges, including alleged violations of South Korea's Political Funds Act.

See also [More Humanity Even in A Communist Prison?](#)

See also [Indictment Language Challenged by Defense](#)

See also [Return to Custody as Court Rejects Health Plea](#)

See also [Global Clergy Visit Detained Mother Han](#)

During the proceedings, testimony from a former senior [Federation](#) official has raised new allegations about possible attempts to influence witness statements. The [Family Federation](#), however, strongly denies these claims and maintains that they are unfounded.



*Artistic impression of South Korean court hearing.
Illustration: ChatGPT*

[MBC News](#) reports that the controversy emerged during a court hearing on 10th March 2026, at the Seoul Central District Court, where [Yoon Yeong-ho](#) (윤영호), a former headquarters director of the [religious organization](#), appeared as a witness in [Hak Ja Han](#)'s trial. At the start of his testimony, [Yoon](#) stated that he wanted to first address what he described as an important matter concerning "the actual (factual) truth" of the case. According to his statement in court, he received several messages between 7th and 20th September 2025, from individuals connected to [Mother Han](#).

[Yoon](#) claimed that these messages conveyed personal remarks attributed to [Mother Han](#). According to his

account, she expressed sentiments such as, "I have never abandoned you," and suggested that it had been wrong for the [Federation](#) to distance itself from him previously. She also reportedly stated that if he were willing to return despite the difficulties he was facing, he would be welcomed back unconditionally.

However, [Yoon](#) testified that these messages were accompanied by a request. He said he was asked to prepare a written statement asserting that [Mother Han](#) had not ordered any unlawful acts. The proposed wording, according to [Yoon's](#) testimony, would indicate that although [Mother Han](#) may have been informed about certain activities and approved them, she had not directly instructed anyone to carry out wrongdoing.

According to the [MBC News article](#), [Yoon](#) further alleged that in exchange for writing such a statement, several forms of assistance were suggested. These included withdrawing a legal complaint that had been filed against his spouse, restoring his membership and position within the [religious organization](#) after he had been expelled, and providing financial support, including covering legal expenses.



Both imprisoned and investigated: Former South Korean President Yoon Suk-yeol and First Lady Kim Keon-hee, here in Tokyo, Japan March 16, 2023

The background to this testimony involves a broader investigation in South Korea concerning allegations of political influence and improper lobbying. [Yoon](#) had previously been questioned by a special prosecutor's team investigating claims that expensive gifts were delivered to Kim Keon-hee (김건희), the wife of former South Korean president Yoon Suk-yeol (윤석열), as part of efforts to gain favorable treatment for the [religious organization](#). During that earlier investigation, [Yoon Yeong-ho](#) reportedly stated that the actions in question had been carried out under instructions from the [Family Federation's](#) leadership. That claim contributed to the investigation expanding to examine possible responsibility at higher levels of the [organization](#).

The [Family Federation](#) has firmly rejected [Yoon's](#) latest allegations. In response to the testimony reported in the media, [Federation](#) representatives stated that [Mother Han](#) had never attempted to persuade [Yoon](#) to give favorable testimony. They described his claims as inaccurate and said that they intend to challenge them during cross-examination in court.

In an [official statement](#) 11th March, the Culture and Public Relations Office (문화홍보국) of the [Family Federation](#) in South Korea, points out,

"We ask that the following be taken into consideration in reporting, as claims appearing in some media reports stating that 'President [Hak Ja Han](#) attempted to persuade someone to provide favorable testimony' are not true.

The [President](#) did not attempt to persuade former headquarters director [Yoon Yeong-ho](#) to provide favorable testimony.

[Yoon Yeong-ho's](#) incorrect claims will be corrected through cross-examination.

Reporting that presents information in a definitive manner despite being inconsistent with the facts constitutes a serious issue that can severely damage the reputation of [this federation](#). We therefore request that reporting be conducted fairly and objectively, based on thorough factchecking."

As the legal proceedings continue, the court will evaluate the credibility of the testimony and the evidence presented by both sides. The case has become a significant topic in South Korea because it combines issues of religion, politics, and legal accountability, and its outcome may certainly influence public perceptions of the [Family Federation](#) and its leadership.

See also [More Humanity Even in A Communist Prison?](#)

See also [Indictment Language Challenged by Defense](#)

See also [Return to Custody as Court Rejects Health Plea](#)

See also [Global Clergy Visit Detained Mother Han](#)

Text: Knut Holdhus, editor

See also [In Tiny Solitary Cell: Irreversible Harm Caused](#)

See also [Religious Freedom Threat: China-Led Alliance](#)

See also [Gingrich Warns Against State Control of Faith](#)

See also [Domino Effect of Religious Persecution in Asia](#)

See also [Unificationism, Industry, and Cold War Survival](#)

See also [Current Korean Situation: Context and Key Issues](#)

See also [Court: Prosecutors' Overreach in Politicized Case](#)

See also [S. Korea's Troubling Pattern of Selective Probes](#)

See also [Unificationism Emerging as a World Religion](#)

See also [Alarm Over State Interference in Religion](#)

Related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [Mother Han's December Trial: Long Detention Ahead](#)

Also related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [A 10-Minute Visit to Mother Han in Detention](#)

Also related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [Denying Allegations: Hak Ja Han \(82\) in Inquiry](#)

Also related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [SKorean Court's Sharp Criticism of Prosecutors](#)

And also related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [Detention: Harsh Cell Conditions Spark Outcry](#)

More, related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [Ugly: Arrest Warrant Sought for Hak Ja Han \(82\)](#)

And more, related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [Critics Warn of "Authoritarian Drift" in SKorea](#)

Even more, related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [Court Decision to Prolong Detention Condemned](#)

Still more, related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [Co-Founder, 82, Questioned 9 Hours by Prosecutors](#)

Also related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [Democratic Party's Assault on Family Federation](#)

And even more, related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [Mother Han \(82\) in Poor Health in Damp, Cold Cell](#)

And still more, related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [Korean Crisis: "True Democracy Must Serve Heaven"](#)

And yet more, related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [Faith Leaders Protest State Assault on Religion](#)

Also related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [News Release Blasts Indictment of Hak Ja Han, 82](#)

More, related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [70 Years On: Detention History Repeats Itself](#)

And more, related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [Trump Raises Alarm Over Church Raids in Korea](#)

Even more, related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [Mike Pompeo Calls Probe of Co-Founder "Lawfare"](#)

Still more, related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [Korean Faith Crackdown: USA Urged to Confront It](#)

Yet more, related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [Korean Bribery Scandal: Media Clears Federation](#)

And also related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [Raids Blur Line Between Justice and Politics](#)

More, related to alleged attempt to influence witness: [Heavy-Handed Raid on Sacred Sites Condemned](#)

Closing An Organization Cannot End Faith

March 12, 2026 • Knut Holdhus

Share:













Observers argue that dissolving the religious organization called the Family Federation could create unforeseen problems for the Japanese authorities and easily make the cure more damaging than the disease



A major debate about religious

More Posts



Alleged Attempt To Influence Witness Statements

March 12, 2026



Political Party Challenges Dissolution Ruling

March 11, 2026



No Assembly Rights As Liquidators Take Control

March 10, 2026



"Politically Driven Dissolution At Any Cost"

March 9, 2026



Preparations Underway For Supreme Court Appeal

March 8, 2026



UN Submission Protests Mass Closure Of Churches

March 7, 2026



State Grabs 260 Churches After Dissolution

March 6, 2026



A major debate about religious freedom, government authority, and the limits of state power has emerged in Japan following a [court decision](#) to dissolve the [Family Federation](#).
Illustration: Chat GPT, 5th March 2026

[Family Federation for World Peace and Unification](#), formerly known as the [Unification Church](#).

See also [State Grabs 260 Churches After Dissolution](#)

See also [UN Submission Protests Mass Closure of Churches](#)

See also [“Loss of Appeal Part of Systemic Persecution”](#)

See also [Social Ostracism for Believers After Legal Loss](#)

For decades, it has been subjected to a veritable witch hunt, often orchestrated by leftwing forces afraid of the [Federation's](#) growing influence with its teachings openly opposed to God-denying Communism. Other times, opposition came from nationalist forces, critical to the [international marriages](#) the [Family Federation](#) has become so famous for. Rightwing nationalists were especially hostile to Japanese citizens marrying South Koreans, from a race long considered inferior due to historical imperial ideology, pseudoscientific racial theories imported in the 19th century, and colonial propaganda.

On 4th March, a Japanese court [approved the government's request](#) to dissolve the group as a religious corporation. This [decision](#) triggered a complex process in which authorities began [closing facilities](#), restricting access to religious buildings, and managing the organization's remaining assets.

For many observers, the move represents a strong effort by the Japanese government to hold a religious institution accountable for alleged misconduct. However, others argue that the consequences of dissolving an entire [religious organization](#) may be far broader than intended.

세계일보
The logo of the [Segye Ilbo](#)
published in [Segye Ilbo](#), a South Korean newspaper, argues that the decision could have significant social, legal, and political repercussions – not only for the [group](#) involved but also for Japan's approach to religious freedom.

The [article](#) raises a fundamental question: Can a democratic government eliminate the legal structure of a religious organization without undermining the freedom of belief that its own constitution guarantees?

Closing Institutions Does Not Eliminate Belief



A closed [Family Federation](#) branch in Chiryu, Aichi Prefecture,

freedom, government authority, and the limits of state power has emerged in Japan following a court decision to dissolve a religious organization. The case involves the

Search...



Categories

Send us a message

First Name * Last Name

Email *

Email Address

Your Message *

Submit

Japan's constitution explicitly protects freedom of religion. In theory, the state can revoke the legal status of a religious corporation – meaning it can dissolve the organization as a recognized institution. But belief itself cannot be dissolved through legal action. Personal faith exists within individuals and communities, not only within buildings or official structures.

The **article** argues that closing churches and dismantling an **organization** does not automatically end the religious beliefs of its followers. Hundreds of thousands of believers associated with the **group** may still want to practice their faith. If official worship spaces are shut down, they will simply look for other ways to continue practicing.

History suggests that faith communities often adapt when faced with restrictions. When public religious practice becomes difficult or impossible, believers frequently shift their activities into private spaces – homes, small gatherings, or informal networks. In this sense, attempts to suppress organized religion can unintentionally push it underground rather than eliminating it.

The **author** refers to historical precedents, such as early Christian communities in ancient Rome. During periods of persecution, Christians gathered secretly in catacombs and private homes. The pattern illustrates a recurring phenomenon: when religion is excluded from the public sphere, it tends to reappear in hidden forms rather than disappear altogether.

The Risk of Escalating Social Costs

Another major concern highlighted in the **article** is the potential for increasing social and administrative costs. Dissolving a large religious organization does not end a conflict; instead, it can create a new series of legal and social challenges.

For example, the **liquidation of the organization's assets** may lead to lengthy court battles. Determining how to distribute property, buildings, and financial resources could involve complicated disputes among the government, former members, and related institutions.

The dissolution could also affect the livelihoods of people who worked for the organization. Staff members who depended on the **Federation** for employment may suddenly lose their jobs. In addition, ordinary believers might experience social isolation or confusion if their religious community suddenly disappears from public life.

The **article** suggests that these consequences will ultimately fall on the state itself. Government agencies will need to manage legal disputes, social tensions, and administrative complications resulting from the decision. In this sense, the attempt to solve a problem could unintentionally create a much larger one.

The **author** describes this possibility using a familiar idea: sometimes the cure becomes more damaging than the disease. If the policy creates more social disruption than it resolves, the government may end up spending significant public resources managing conflicts that could have been addressed more narrowly.

The Principle of Minimal Government Intervention

Modern legal systems often emphasize what is known as the “principle of minimal infringement”. This principle suggests that when governments intervene in people's rights or freedoms, they should do so in the least intrusive way possible.

According to the **article**, if individuals within a religious group committed unlawful acts, the most appropriate response would be to investigate and punish those specific individuals. Holding the responsible parties accountable is consistent with the rule of law.



However, dissolving an entire religious organization may be seen as a much broader measure. Critics argue that such an action affects not only those who committed wrongdoing but also many ordinary members who were not involved.

From this perspective, the government's decision may appear disproportionate.

Instead of precisely targeting illegal behavior, it eliminates the institutional framework that supports the faith of a large number of people.



Dissolving an entire religious organization because of illegal acts committed by some individuals is not an appropriate response by state authorities. Here, selection from “Nameless”, painting by Luis Vargas Santa Cruz (Q112692608) about clergy abuse in Catholicism. Photo: Marafeminista / Wikimedia Commons. License: [CC ASA 4.0](#). Cropped

International Human Rights Concerns



The [article](#) also raises questions about how the decision might be perceived internationally. Freedom of religion is widely recognized as a fundamental human right. This principle is protected in global agreements such as the [International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights](#),

which states that governments should not arbitrarily interfere with people's beliefs or religious practices.

Japan is a signatory to [this treaty](#), meaning it has committed to upholding these protections. Critics therefore worry that physically closing places of worship or dismantling a religious organization could attract scrutiny from international human-rights institutions.

Japan is often regarded as a leading democracy and an important member of the global liberal order. Because of this role, its domestic policies are closely watched. The [article](#) suggests that the country's credibility could be affected if its actions appear to contradict the values of religious freedom and pluralism that democratic societies promote.

Balancing Accountability and Religious Freedom

The central challenge highlighted in the [article](#) is finding the right balance between accountability and religious freedom. Governments have a responsibility to protect citizens from fraud, abuse, or other illegal activities. When wrongdoing occurs within a religious organization, authorities must investigate and respond.

At the same time, democratic societies must ensure that the actions taken against misconduct do not unintentionally restrict the broader freedom of belief. The principle of separation between religion and the state was originally designed to protect individuals from excessive government control over their spiritual lives.

The [article](#) argues that the separation of religion and state should function as a safeguard against government intrusion into personal belief. It should not become a tool that allows the state to dismantle religious communities entirely.

The Broader Implications

Finally, the [article](#) expresses concern about the potential loss of positive contributions that religious communities can make. Many faith-based groups participate in charitable work, promote dialogue between different religions, and advocate for peace or humanitarian causes.

If government action unintentionally suppresses these positive activities, society may lose valuable sources of social cooperation and moral engagement.

The [author](#) concludes by emphasizing that the purpose of government is to provide stability and predictability for its citizens. Instead of deepening social divisions, state policies should create conditions in which people with different beliefs can coexist

peacefully.

In this view, addressing wrongdoing within religious organizations is necessary – but it must be done carefully, in ways that protect both justice and the fundamental freedoms that democratic societies seek to uphold.

See also [State Grabs 260 Churches After Dissolution](#)

See also [UN Submission Protests Mass Closure of Churches](#)

See also [“Loss of Appeal Part of Systemic Persecution”](#)

See also [Social Ostracism for Believers After Legal Loss](#)

Text: Knut Holdhus, editor

Featured image above: Japanese believers gathering for prayer March 2026. AI-generated Illustration March 2026.

Related to closing an organization: [Lawfare: State Uses Legal System in War on Faith](#)

Also related to closing an organization: [MEXT's Legal Spin and “Dissolution at All Costs”](#)

Also related to closing an organization: [UN Report: Japan's Lawfare Against Faith Minority](#)

And also related to closing an organization: [Protesting No Transparency in Closed-Door Trial](#)

More, related to closing an organization: [Dangerous Flaws in Dissolution Order Decried](#)

Also related to closing an organization: [“Lawyers Lying and Shaming Japan” for 50 Years](#)

And also related to closing an organization: [Japan Following the Way of China](#)

And also related to closing an organization: [Japan's Dissolution Case Echoes China's Playbook](#)

More, related to closing an organization: [Media/Legal Expert: Communism Behind Persecution](#)

And more, related to closing an organization: [Militant Lawyers Dictate Government Policy](#)

More, related to closing an organization: [Collusion to Rob Minority of Its Rights](#)

And more, related to closing an organization: [State and Media Creating “Today's Non-Citizens”](#)

Still more, related to closing an organization: [Japan Copying China: State Seizure of Churches](#)

And still more, related to closing an organization: [12 Religious Freedom NGOs Denouncing Japan](#)

More, related to closing an organization: [4300 Abductions and Forcible Detentions](#)

And more, related to closing an organization: [Japan: Families Fear for Graves of Loved Ones](#)

Yet more, related to closing an organization: [Lawyers Manipulating, Coercing, Lying](#)

Also related to closing an organization: [Kishida Follows Anti-Family Federation Minister](#)

Also related to closing an organization: [Militant Lawyers Dictate Government Policy](#)

Still more, related to closing an organization: [Malicious One-Sided Government Source Selection](#)

And still more, related to closing an organization: [Japan Urged to Make U-Turn](#)

And yet more, related to closing an organization: [Dangerous Precedent to Crush Religions](#)

Even more, related to closing an organization: [Japan Following the Way of China](#)

Yet more, related to closing an organization: [Japanese Communists' Final War](#)

Still more, related to closing an organization: [Political and Social Activism behind Oppression](#)

Still more, related to closing an organization: [Dissolution Case: Rule of Law on Trial 4th March](#)

«

Previous

Political Party Challenges D... Alleged Attempt To Influen...

Next

»