FFWPU Int'l: Public Welfare and Religious Liberty Ignored in Japan - Case of the Unification Church

Doo Seong yeon October 11, 2025



Patricia Duval speaks (via video) at the Kaunas conference.

The dissolution order that hit the Family Federation last March violates Japan's international law obligations.

by Patricia Duval (Bitter Winter)

A paper presented at the conference "Resilient Memories in East Asia: Remembrance, Acknowledgment, Reconciliation," Vytautas Magnus University, Kaunas, Lithuania, October 10 - 11, 2025.

After World War II, Japan wished to regain prestige amongst the international community when it adopted its new Constitution and committed to protecting fundamental rights, particularly the right of the Japanese people to freedom of religion or belief.

However, Japan has retained a very troubling exception to civil liberties in its Constitution and laws to this day, in the name of "public welfare."

This vague and open-ended restriction to individual freedoms is not worthy of a democracy but would rather belong in a banana republic or a dictatorship where opposition movements or undesired minorities are labelled as contrary to the public welfare, with the State deciding what constitutes the welfare of citizens.

It is particularly questionable when it comes to freedom of religion or conscience.

Yet, based on this vague and arbitrary notion, the Japanese authorities are now on the verge of dissolving the Family Federation for World Peace and Unification, formerly the Unification Church, a religious corporation that has gathered around 600,000 followers in Japan.

This is why, on October 1st, four United Nations Special Rapporteurs <u>publicly issued a press release</u> to express their concerns about this alarming situation in Japan.

Let us now examine the context of such a situation.

The Japanese members of the Unification Church have been harassed and stigmatized in Japan over the last decades.

They have often been treated as if they were an ethnic minority, accused of being "anti-Japan" because the founders of the Church are a Korean couple, Reverend Moon and his wife, and the Church itself originated in Korea.

Historically, Japanese people have often harboured a sense of superiority over Koreans and tended to look down on them, in the wake of the past colonization of Korea by Japan.

This dynamic contributed to severe rejection by families when one of their members joined the Unification Church, driven by three reasons rooted in ethnic prejudice: (1) the fact that the founders are Korean, (2) the religion's Korean origins, and (3) the fear that believers might be forced into marriages with Koreans.

This prejudice has been instrumental in leading families to resort to violent "deprogramming" of their kin who had joined the Church.

For nearly half a century, the government has given carte blanche to extreme anti-cult lawyers and consensual religions to organize the "deprogramming" of Unification Church members by force: kidnappings by trickery and illegal confinements by families, followed by imposed indoctrination against the Church's beliefs by Protestant pastors.

Thousands of parents were abused and talked into subjecting their adult children believers to deprogramming.

Then, those members were pressured by their deprogrammers and lawyers to file financial claims against the Church to prove their real intention to abandon the faith and to be released from confinement.

This resulted in several civil litigations against the Church over the last four decades, led by politically motivated lawyers, the National Network of Lawyers against Spiritual Sales, who had pledged to eliminate the Unification Church due to its fight against atheistic Communism in Eastern Asia.

Then came the assassination of Japan's Prime Minister, which was seen as a timely opportunity by these lawyers.

In 2022, Prime Minister Shinzo Abe was shot dead by a man who held a grudge against the Church due to his mother's past donations some twenty years before. He reportedly targeted Abe because he supported some Church humanitarian activities.



A turning point: the assassination of Shinzo Abe.

After the murderer's arrest, a media blitz started against the Unification Church, initiated by the Lawyers' Network.

At a press conference held by the Network in July 2022 in response to the assassination, the lawyers, one after another, vehemently condemned the Unification Church. They stated that "Abe's assassin and his mother [were] 100% the victims, and the cult 100% the perpetrator." They described the Church as "antisocial" and "a great evil."

The lawyers and the media who were out to get the Church pinned an anti-Japan tag on it, due to its Korean origin.

Then, the sentiment that "a Korean-originated religion caused the assassination of Japan's former Prime

Minister" ignited anti-Korean hate sentiment amongst Japanese people. What had previously been confined to family-level hate emotions expanded into State-level sentiments.

The media frenzy pressured the Japanese Government to sever all ties with the Church, and, under the accusations from the Lawyers' Network, making them responsible for the situation, Government officials initiated a dissolution procedure against the religious corporation.

This is how the Ministry, which oversees religious matters, in October 2023, filed a request for dissolution at the Tokyo District Court and maintained the following: "From around 1980 to 2023, Unification Church believers caused significant damage to many people by making them donate by restricting their free decision and preventing their normal judgment [accusation of brainwashing], which resulted in disrupting the peaceful lives of many people, including family members."

In its claim of "disruption of peaceful lives," the Ministry relied on thirty-two adverse civil court decisions in cases filed by former members, often after their "deprogramming," for facts dating from twenty to forty years before. In all those cases, the civil courts found torts based on violation of "social appropriateness" or "social norms," some vague and unwritten criteria that allowed arbitrary condemnations.

On this basis, the Tokyo District Court ruled on March 25th this year, ordering the dissolution pursuant to Article 81 of the law on religious corporations. Article 81 provides that a court may order dissolution if "in violation of laws and regulations, the religious corporation commits an act which is clearly found to harm public welfare substantially."

The Court found that the Church violated "social norms" and therefore violated the law, although those "norms" are unwritten and undefined. They do not meet the criterion of predictability for being "prescribed by law" under the requirements for any limitation to freedom of religion or belief laid out at Article 18.3 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, which Japan has signed and committed to.

The District Court's dissolution order has been appealed at the Tokyo High Court and is currently pending review

Now, let's examine the concepts of "public welfare" and "social appropriateness" or social norms under international human rights standards.

The UN Human Rights Committee, created by the Covenant to monitor its implementation by State parties, has consistently urged Japan to stop using the notion of public welfare to limit freedom of religion or belief.

Japan ratified the Covenant in 1979 and accepted the Committee's monitoring authority.

Since 1980 - so one year later - the Committee has denounced the inclusion in Japanese law of the concept of public welfare to limit freedom of religion or belief and made the following recurrent demand: "The Committee reiterates its concern that the concept of 'public welfare' is vague and open-ended ... and urges the State party to refrain from imposing any restriction on the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion unless they fulfil the strict conditions set out in article 18."

Indeed, Article 18.3 of the Covenant provides: "Freedom to manifest one's religion or beliefs may be subject only to such limitations as are prescribed by law and are necessary to protect public safety, order, health, or morals or the fundamental rights and freedoms of others."

So, if protection of public safety or public order can allow limitations, on the contrary, protection of public welfare is not included in the list, which must be strictly interpreted, and does not allow any restriction to this freedom.

It can be concluded that the Japanese authorities have known for forty-five years that they had to review their internal laws to conform to the Covenant. However, they have consistently refused to do so under a fake pretense and violated their international commitments.

They are about to eliminate an entire religion on this illegal basis.

Additionally, the concept of "social appropriateness" or "social norms" opens the door to discrimination and domination by consensual religions - like what happened with the Protestant deprogrammers.

It directly conflicts with the Human Rights Committee's standards in its Comment N° 22 on article 18: "The terms 'belief' and 'religion' are to be broadly construed. Article 18 is not limited in its application to traditional religions or to religions and beliefs with institutional characteristics or practices analogous to

those of traditional religions. The Committee therefore views with concern any tendency to discriminate against any religion or belief for any reason, including the fact that they are newly established, or represent religious minorities that may be the subject of hostility on the part of a predominant religious community."

Therefore, in the absence of any statute law violation or crime, public opinion hostility can never be a justification for courts to limit the right to manifest one's religion or beliefs based on religious practices lacking so-called "social appropriateness" or violating "social norms."

Yet, this has been the basis for the thirty-two civil tort decisions on which the dissolution order was issued.



The paper's conclusion.

This is the reason why four UN top experts in each of their areas of competence, the Special Rapporteurs to the Human Rights Council for freedom of religion or belief, for minority issues, for freedom of association and assembly and freedom of education, have issued a public statement on October 1st to warn Japan not to limit the manifestation of religion or belief unduly, outside the limitations strictly provided at Article 18.3 of the Covenant.

Let's hope that Japan, through the Tokyo High Court, will review the legal basis of the first instance decision and reconsider the dissolution issue to comply with these requirements.

The United Nations Condemns Japan's Discrimination Against Religious Minorities

10/03/2025 MASSIMO INTROVIGNE

A+ | A-

Four UN Special Rapporteurs warn: the dissolution of the Unification Church and the anti-cult propaganda in schools violate international law.

by Massimo Introvigne



UN Special Rapporteur on freedom of religion or belief Nazila Ghanea. Screenshot.

The United Nations has forcefully spoken out about the escalating discrimination against religious or belief minorities in Japan—a situation "Bitter Winter" has been denouncing consistently for the past three years. Their statement, issued on October 3, 2025, is a vindication of our reporting and a powerful call to conscience for Japan and its allies.

The four UN Special Rapporteurs—Nazila Ghanea (freedom of religion or belief), Nicolas Levrat (minority issues), Farida Shaheed (right to education), and Gina Romero (rights of children)—are among the most authoritative voices in the global human rights system. Their mandate is to investigate abuses, engage governments, and uphold the principles enshrined in international law, particularly the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR).

The United Nations concern was triggered by the distribution of "Children's Human Rights SOS Mini-Letters" to schoolchildren in Japan. While these pamphlets aimed to raise awareness about child abuse, they also—alarmingly—reinforced discriminatory stereotypes about religious minorities, including the Jehovah's Witnesses.

"We are disappointed that materials disseminated to children in Japan reinforce discriminatory stereotypes about religion or belief minorities, and risk directly conflating legitimate religion and belief manifestations with child abuse," the UN experts said.

The pamphlets draw on the "Q&A Guidelines" published by Japan's Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare in December 2022. These guidelines were the subject of a United Nations statement in April 2024. However, the problematic framing persists.

"Rather than protecting children who belong to religion or belief minorities, these materials risk contributing to their bullying and marginalisation," the United Nations document said.

"In line with our previous concerns, we have received credible reports that religion or

belief minorities, including Jehovah's Witnesses, have since found themselves under increased scrutiny from authorities even when engaging in legitimate religious activities in line with article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, such as praying with children, or opting out of certain activities on religious grounds," the experts added.

"We are concerned that the continued use and re-use of the Q&A Guidelines' discriminatory framing reflects a broader pattern of targeting religion or belief minorities for surveillance and administrative harassment," they said.

"While we appreciate and encourage sincere efforts to engage with children and young people in order to combat violence and abuse in the home and at school, such good faith efforts must not result in discrimination against minorities."

The experts also addressed the Tokyo District Court's March 2025 decision to dissolve the Family Federation for World Peace and Unification, formerly known as the Unification Church, currently under appeal. The ruling was based on civil tort judgments that invoked the vague concept of "public welfare."

"The civil tort rulings on which the dissolution decision was based on relies on the violation of 'social appropriateness' which were deemed to constitute a serious harm to 'public welfare'. As previously noted by the Human Rights Committee the concept of 'public welfare' is vague and open-ended and may permit restrictions exceeding those permissible under the ICCPR," the United Nations document warned.

The statement emphasized that "any restrictions on the exercise of Article 18 rights must comply strictly with the limitations set out in Article 18.3 of the ICCPR, as interpreted by the UN Human Rights Committee."

This is not just a legal technicality. It is a warning. Japan can no longer ignore the protests of the international community and continue with its violations of international law, expressed in its attempted dissolution of the Unification Church, and its limitations on the rights of parents to educate their children in their faiths.

And this warning extends beyond Japan. In South Korea, the government has intensified its crackdown on religious groups deemed hostile to the administration. The leader of the Unification Church, Mother Han, and prominent Christian Pastor Son Hyun-bo have been jailed, and other churches face increasing scrutiny. The campaign in South Korea and Japan is parallel and coordinated. The UN's message is clear: religious freedom is not negotiable. Surveillance, harassment, and dissolution orders are not tools of justice—they are signs of democratic decay.

The statement by the UN experts is a long-overdue recognition of the suffering endured by religious minorities in East Asia. It is a celebration of truth, a defense of dignity, and a call to action.

Let us hope it is heard.



















TAGGED WITH ANTI-CULT, JAPAN, RELIGIOUS LIBERTY, UNIFICATION CHURCH, UNITED NATIONS



Massimo Introvigne

Massimo Introvigne (born June 14, 1955 in Rome) is an Italian sociologist of religions. He is the founder and managing director of the Center for Studies on New Religions (CESNUR), an international network of scholars who study new religious movements. Introvigne is the author of some 70 books and more than 100 articles in the field of sociology of religion. He was the

main author of the Enciclopedia delle religioni in Italia (Encyclopedia of Religions in Italy). He is a member of the editorial board for the Interdisciplinary Journal of Research on Religion and of the executive board of University of California Press' Nova Religio. From January 5 to December 31, 2011, he has served as the "Representative on combating racism, xenophobia and discrimination, with a special focus on discrimination against Christians and members of other religions" of the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE). From 2012 to 2015 he served as chairperson of the Observatory of Religious Liberty, instituted by the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs in order to monitor problems of religious liberty on a worldwide scale.

W/W/W/celshUrbrdy

f in X ® 5

Related articles



Asociația antisectă din Italia este obligată să plătească 35.000 de euro...



"Public Welfare" and Religious Liberty in Japan: The Case of the Unification...



"Pasaulietė": Deimantė Rudžinskaitė and the Jehovah's Witnesses...



韓国、マザーハンを起 訴:宗教弾圧へと転落 する民主国家

Keep Reading



A Death Foretold: South Korea's Prosecutorial Pressure Claims Its First Victim

The same investigation by the same Special Prosecutor and team persecuting Mother Han led, not surprisingly, to the suicide of a Yangpyeong County official.



予言された死:韓国検察の圧力で初の犠牲者が出た

マザー・ハンを起訴した同じ特別検察官とチームによる同じ 捜査が、楊平郡職員の自殺につながったのは、当然のことだった。



Shenyang Youth Fellowship: Four Released, One Rearrested

Brother Wang Xiangchao was detained again on September 26. Pastor Mingdao remains in jail—allegations of torture continue.



Taiyuan Xuncheng Reformed Church Raided Again: 15 Detained, Including Infants

Another police action targeted a successful house church. Even small children were detained.

CESNUR
Via Confienza 19
10121 Torino
Italy
info@bitterwinter.org