

Morality Forum Update

Standing up for family values in society

Issue 67

Autumn 2017

Defending Marriage and Family

By John O'Neill

At a Centre for Justice fringe event at the recent Conservative Party Conference in Manchester, Iain Duncan Smith MP stated that Britain is facing a broken families 'crisis,' which costs the government an estimated £48 billion a year. That Ministers are afraid of talking about marriage, and that the government should be doing something about the view – widely held by the middle classes that marriage was a 'lifestyle choice'. Mr Duncan Smith added that the country needed a marriage 'revolution' and called for tax cuts for couples who marry and an end to the 'couple penalty' for low-income families in the tax and benefit system. He also highlighted how boys were learning their approach to sex and relationships from internet pornography, which in turn is damaging the self-worth of girls. Mr Duncan Smith spoke also about cohabitation which is 'a very different relationship from marriage' and 'inherently unstable; the level of break-up is staggeringly high compared to marriage, and for the most part, these relationships break up upon the arrival of a child.' Sir Paul Coleridge, a former high court judge and chairman of the Marriage Foundation stated at the same event that it was 'atrocious' that family breakdown had been relegated to a fringe issue. He said: 'Watching this river of human

to page 3

NEWS IN BRIEF

Being married helps people to live longer

A study by Aston Medical School in Birmingham found that those people who have a spouse to care for them in sickness and in health are less likely to die from three very common health conditions. Those conditions were, high blood pressure, high cholesterol, and type 2 diabetes, which has the biggest risk factors for heart disease. Experts suggest this is because those who have the encouragement of a partner are more likely to take their medication, get enough exercise and to eat healthily. Married diabetes patients were 14 % more likely to survive, while those with high blood pressure had a 10% higher survival rate; those with high cholesterol were 16% more likely to survive that period. Dr Paul Carter, the Lead Researcher, who presented the findings at the British Cardiovascular Society Conference in Manchester, said: "Our research suggests that marriage offers a protective effect, which is probably down to having support in controlling the key risk factors for heart disease. Dr Mike Knapton, of the British Heart Foundation, said: "Our social interactions, as well as medical risk factors, are important determinants of both our health and wellbeing." Previous research has found married people are 71% more likely to survive a stroke and 14% more likely to survive a heart attack. Marriage is meant to be a life-long commitment, "for better or for worse", with Almighty God being at the centre of each marriage. This study helps to underline the benefits of marriage - in stark contrast to the tragedies caused by the humanistic, quickie divorce legislation.

Mother Teresa: Abortion is the greatest destroyer of peace



Perhaps the greatest lesson Mother Teresa can give us is an understanding of just what it is we are fighting. Abortion doesn't just kill babies and damage mothers, it affects the whole of society. It isn't just those women and those babies that are affected, but all of us. Abortion certainly affects the fathers: "...that father is told that he does not have to take any responsibility at all for the child he has brought into the world. The father is likely to put other women into the same

trouble. So, abortion just leads to more abortion." It also affects the entire country where abortion is permitted: "Any country that accepts abortion is not teaching its people to love, but to use any violence to get what they want. This is why the greatest destroyer of love and peace is abortion. There are many other causes worth fighting for, but we must

to page 2

Inside this issue:

Defending Marriage and Family	1
News in Brief	1
Parental Rights and Law	2
Letters & Emails	4
The Global Sexual Revolution	4
True Family Values	5
Morality Forum Action	6
Politically correct moral reasoning	6
Jonathon Van Maren LifeSiteNews	7
Global Women's Gathering on Leadership and Networking	8
MassResistance book on LGBT health risks	8

Morality Forum
43 Lancaster Gate
London W2 3NA
Tel: 020-77230721

www.moralityforum.org.uk
Editor: John O'Neill
Design and Technical Section:
Frank Van Der Stok

from page 1 News in Brief

never let ourselves be convinced that the pro-life fight is a side-issue, or one that affects only a small number of people. It's not just that we care about babies, and also their mothers - we care about everyone. A final quote from the Washington address: "Many people are very, very concerned with the children of India, with the children of Africa where quite a few die of hunger, and so on. Many people are also concerned about all the violence in this great country of the United States. These concerns are very good. But often these same people are not concerned with the millions who are being killed by the deliberate decision of their own mothers. And this is what is the greatest destroyer of peace today — abortion which brings people to such blindness." (LifeSiteNews)

Sinister new law is "state takeover bid for parenting"

SPUC Safe at School fears that a new law will put parents in second place in the upbringing of their children and put the safety of children at risk. Pro-life MP, Sir Edward Leigh, said in Parliament: "It is understandable that some will view this as a state takeover bid for parenting". Section 34 of the Children and Social Work Act 2017 makes Relationship Education (RelEd) compulsory in primary schools and Relationships and Sex Education (RSE) compulsory in secondary schools. It comes into force in schools in September 2019. The new law, which will apply to every school including independent schools, free schools and academies, will further facilitate the sexualisation of children in the classroom. It will also open the floodgate to promote same-sex relationships to impressionable young children and teenagers. The new law means that the state has officially become the lead educator in every child's moral development with regard to marriage and sexuality. The government has stated that it will be working with LGBT organisations when deciding on the details of what will be taught. The Act suggests that, at a certain age, children should decide whether they attend sex education lessons, not their parents. In theory the new law allows parents to withdraw their children from sex education. However, sex education could easily be smuggled into RelEd lessons and parents will be powerless to protect their children. Child sex abuse and sexual risks from digital technology are cited as the main reason why RelEd and RSE must be taught in schools. Safe at School says that children are safest when parents, not the state, protect their children from these dangers. Undermining parents puts children at risk. **The government will shortly be announcing a public consultation on the regulations and statutory guidance relating to REIED and RSE. This is our chance to help influence what our children will be taught. We need as many parents, grandparents and concerned citizens to respond to this consultation. To order a briefing and guidelines contact The Society for the Protection of Unborn Children Tel: 02070917091 Email: information@spuc.org. Address: 3, Whitacre Mews, Stannary Street, London, SE11 4AB**

Inspiring Reports from Family and Youth Concern

Challenge Team UK: Sue Relf reported that in 2016/17, the Challenge Team had given its presentation to over 7,000 more young people, bringing the total audience reached since 2005 to 147,000. The team continues to receive positive responses to its hour-long interactive presentation aimed at equipping and

inspiring young teenagers to consider the benefits of saving sex for marriage. One young woman wrote: 'Hi ... you spoke at my school a couple of years ago and completely inspired me to reconsider my self-worth and respect myself when making choices on intimacy! For this I am so grateful as it has saved me a lot of possible heartbreak. I just thought I would drop you a message of gratitude and for you to know how your work has an impact on many people's lives even years later. For this I am forever grateful.' A pastoral tutor from a city university recently told Mrs Relf that 99 per cent of the problems that students report to her have to do with their sexual relationships. **Lovewise:** Dr Maxwell regularly visits three primary schools and two secondary schools in the Basingstoke area, giving lessons on marriage, relationships and sex, using materials produced by Lovewise. At a recent parents' meeting, parents were very enthusiastic about the resources and a number purchased copies of the Lovewise books that accompany the teaching material.

The range of resources available from Lovewise may be viewed on its website at <http://lovewise.org.uk/> and Dr Maxwell encouraged Family Education Trust supporters to get in touch for more information or to suggest schools that may welcome Lovewise materials.

PARENTAL RIGHTS AND LAW

Rights of Parents regarding the Education of their Children (including specific provision relating to SRE & RE)



1. Every parent has the legal right to educate their child(ren) "in conformity with their own religious and philosophical convictions". The primary law is contained in the following Article of the European Convention on Human Rights of 1950 (following the Universal Declaration of

Human Rights proclaimed by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 10 December 1948) and incorporated into English Law by the Human Rights Act 1998 Protocol 1 Article 2 (bold). ARTICLE

2. No person shall be denied the right to education. In the exercise of any functions which it assumes in relation to education and to teaching, the State shall respect the right of parents to ensure such education and teaching in conformity with their own religious and philosophical convictions.

2. Freedom of Religion Human Rights Act 1998 ARTICLE 9 FREEDOM OF THOUGHT, CONSCIENCE AND RELIGION. Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief, in worship, teaching, practice and observance. Freedom to manifest one's religion or beliefs shall be subject only to such limitations as are prescribed by law and are necessary in a democratic society in the interests of public safety, for the protection of public order, health or morals, or for the protection of the rights and freedoms of others.

from page 1

misery gather momentum over four decades woke even me up. Watching people come into the courts day after day with the same problems, the same damaged children and relationships, forced me to ask the question: what are we doing? It's not a moral crusade, it is a public health campaign. The problem is that there is a view out there, borne of ignorance I'm afraid, that all co-habiting relationships are of equal worth, of equal value, of equal stability. I'm afraid they are not.'

Special thanks to Ian Duncan Smith and Sir Paul Coleridge for highlighting the importance of marriage. The greatest problem in our nation is the breakdown of the family. The family is the foundation of the society, nation and world. The proverb is apt: "All is well if there is harmony in the family." The decline of marriage can be traced back to the advent of the permissive society and the sexual revolution in the 1960's. Since the 1960's successive governments have been strongly influenced by humanistic, liberal thinking on key moral and family issues, which included quickie divorce legislation, and the ideologically driven, nonsensical idea that all relationships are of equal value, and that to highlight marriage would be to stigmatise those people in other relationships, such as cohabiting couples and also single parents, completely ignoring the academic evidence which shows clearly that marriage has the best outcomes both for adults and also for children. (Steven Stack and J. Ross Eshleman, "Marital Status and Happiness: A 17-Nation Study," *Journal of Marriage and Family* 60 (1998): 527-36. Stack, et al., 1998, 535.) The most important factor to bear in mind concerning marriage and family is that it is Our Creator's blueprint for peace, harmony, and spiritual growth and development in our nations, centring on true love, which is meant to be manifested through God-centred family relationships, and through living for the sake of others. The democratic world has become increasingly individualistic and self-centred. In history God has sent saints and prophets to educate all people about true love, exemplified by their personalities and lifestyles. Jesus gave his life for the sake of others and he said that he came, "not to be served but to serve."

Instead of offering her total support for the destructive and corrosive aims and ideals of the LGBT agenda (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WafKpmbLY>), in relation to traditional moral and family values, Theresa May and other relevant Ministers should instead concentrate on urgently implementing the proposals suggested by Iain Duncan Smith and Sir Paul Coleridge regarding marriage. Also, instead of issuing more platitudes and slogans after each terrorist atrocity, Mrs May should look to emulate President Trump who called America to a day of prayer on September 3rd for victims of Hurricane Harvey. The core of all cultures is religion. No society in history has been able to survive for long without a strong moral code.

In the late 1970's Historian Arnold Toynbee could see a spiritual crisis on the horizon: "I believe that human beings cannot live without religion and philosophy and there is next to no clear-cut distinction between these two approaches to life. I believe the modern West has not lost, but sadly has changed its religion. I believe the new religion is based on its greed, its aspiration toward wealth, and also its blind belief in the progress that it imagines that will be brought about by technology and science. If it's true and I believe that it is, that religion is the source of any civilisation's vitality, any loss of faith in that religion leads to the civilisation's downfall and eventual replacement." What Arnold Toynbee states is

categorically correct as is borne out by various studies (J.D. Unwin. A study of 88 civilisations). Trying to delete our Judeo-Christian heritage has resulted in record levels of social problems and crimes. The secular, humanistic agenda prevalent since the 1960's has been a disaster, with record levels of divorces, teenage pregnancies, abortions etc. The lessons of history: If you remove religion from the centre of any society rapid decline and disaster is inevitable. The time is long overdue for our politicians to face the facts about the devastating impact the sexual revolution and the permissive society has had upon our nation, along with the humanistic ideology, especially exemplified regarding family breakdown, and the traumatic impact this has on the parents and children affected by such tragedies, and the instability and chaos that family breakdown causes in the wider society, regarding social problems and crimes. One very positive development: Jacob Rees-Mogg could become the next leader of the Conservative Party. Mr Rees-Mogg is a devout Catholic. He believes that marriage is only meant to be between a man and a woman. Our nation urgently needs a leader who is grounded in traditional moral and spiritual thinking and values, and will not make any compromises to the PC agenda. If it is not going to be Mr Rees-Mogg, let us all then keep in our prayers that such a leader will be found, who will be able to offer genuine hope to our nation through emphasising the vital importance of the traditional family, underscored by a religious ethos again becoming prevalent in our nation.

from page 2 Parental Rights and Laws

3. Protection of Religion Equality Act 2010. This lists certain "protected characteristics" that include: Religion or Belief. Religion means any religion and a reference to religion includes a reference to a lack of religion. Belief means any religious or philosophical belief and a reference to belief includes a reference to a lack of belief

4. Right to withdraw child(ren) from sex education S405 Education Act 1996 Exemption from sex education. If the parent of any pupil in attendance at a maintained school requests that he may be wholly or partly excused from receiving sex education at the school, the pupil shall, except so far as such education is comprised in the National Curriculum, be so excused accordingly until the request is withdrawn. Right to withdraw child(ren) from religious education (RE) School Standards and Framework Act 1998: If the parent of a pupil at a community, foundation or voluntary school requests that he may be wholly or partly excused from receiving religious education given in the school in accordance with the school's basic curriculum, from attendance at religious worship in the school, or both from receiving such education and from such attendance, the pupil shall be so excused until the request is withdrawn.

(This right has existed since the 1944 Education Act. It is intended as a "conscience clause" and not a general opt out.) Parentpower Support can assist parents in the exercise of their legal rights. Email support@parentpower.co for tailored advice and support. Please include the date of birth of your child(ren) and the school they attend. Copyright 2017 | www.ParentPower.Family. This article is published by kind permission of *Voice for Justice*.

Letters and Emails Received

Many thanks John, for the Morality Forum Update. We really appreciate your continued stand for what is right according to Principled Way given to humankind by God. Blessings to you, your family and the MF team working with you for the support you all give to the like-minded people taking care of the welfare and wellbeing of those in need. Joan Simon. Wales FFWPU/WFWP

Wonderful news! Well done to those mothers!!! [MassResistance Texas parents confront transgender 'bathroom' agenda] Hopefully more people will follow their example and speak out against all this madness! Thanks John. Françoise

Dear John, Many thanks for the latest edition of "Morality Forum Update". As always very stimulating, Not the least because it speaks the Truth. Many thanks for the mention of SPUC's work; it is I assure you very worthwhile. Best wishes, Ian

Dear John, Thank you for contacting us, and for your interest in our book, *The Health Hazards of Homosexuality*. It was great seeing and meeting you and all the others at the Emmanuel Centre in London back in July. We're still working to get Mass-Resistance UK off and running, but I think we're making a lot of progress. I got a chance to read your newsletter, and it's really good! Thanks again for everything. Let's stay in touch! Brian Camenker MassResistance

The Global Sexual Revolution: Destruction of freedom in the name of freedom - Gabrielle Kuby.

(LifeSite 2015, 283pp, pbk, £16.00 ISBN 978-1-62138-154-9)



This is an updated English translation of a work originally published in German in 2012. It traces the global sexual revolution from the French Revolution to the postmodern gender ideology of Judith Butler, the leading 21st century American philosopher and gender theorist. Gabrielle Kuby describes the goals, strategies, networks and methods of a cultural revolution

based on the ideological premises that 'there is no such thing as man and woman; killing is a human right; and moral standards are discrimination' (p.242). Before delving into the history, Kuby sets the scene by examining the ways in which the sexual revolution is set on 'the destruction of freedom in the name of freedom'. She notes how since the 1960s a powerful lobby has been fighting to change our value system: 'The goal is absolute freedom, unfettered by any natural or moral limitations... The concrete weapons in this war include deconstruction of male-female sexuality, alteration of the population's social norms and attitudes (especially among youth), complete legal equivalency of homosexual partnership with marriage, and even social ostracism and legal criminalisation of any opposition to these new "norms".' (p.8)

In spite of the fact that this radical agenda contributes nothing to the solving of the great problems of our time, it receives priority treatment in the activities of the United Nations (UN) and the European Union (EU), as well as in many individual countries. **A soft totalitarianism:** Kuby suggests that we are being confronted by 'a new soft totalitarianism'. While our current conditions may be light years away from the terror systems of Nazism and Communism, she discerns that, 'Totalitarianism has made a costume change and now appears in the mantle

of freedom, tolerance, justice, equality, anti-discrimination and diversity – ideological backdrops that prove to be amputated, distorted terms.' (p.12) In reality, she writes, this global cultural revolution does not create freedom, but rather creates rootless individuals and social chaos. 'When people fall for the lie that unrestrained satisfaction of their urges is freedom, or leads to freedom, they become subservient to those urges.' (p.17) The historical survey highlights the contributions of some of the trailblazers of the sexual revolution, with sections on Thomas Malthus, Margaret Sanger, Karl Marx, Friedrich Engels, Wilhelm Reich, Sigmund Freud, C G Jung, Alfred Kinsey, John Money and Simone de Beauvoir among others. As the American social commentator, E Michael Jones, has observed: 'The goal of secularisation was the reduction of all of life's imperatives to "opinions", as opposed to the expression of moral absolutes or divine law. He continued: 'Once the "secularisation" occurred, the people who controlled "opinions" controlled the country.' (p.31)

Manipulating public opinion: Conscious that sexualisation blinds people and makes them unwilling to resist attacks on the fundamental pillars of society's value system, the cultural revolutionaries devoted their energies to manipulating public opinion and seeking to sexualise society. In her analysis of the role of the UN in the sexual revolution, Kuby notes that it is a top-down revolution, originating with globally active power elites. The UN uses its power and resources to replace universal morals with relativistic postmodern 'values' as the foundation of the culture.

Ambiguous, positive-sounding words such as 'freedom of choice', 'women's empowerment', 'unmet needs', 'quality services' and 'reproductive health' are employed to promote objectives which would not otherwise achieve a consensus, while self-styled 'experts' function as lobbyists for small radical minorities who assert their own interests at the expense of the welfare of society. A chapter on 'The European Union and the gender bandwagon' similarly relates how favoured NGOs are granted privileged access to the labyrinthine structures of the European institutions in order to promote a new legal and social order in the areas of gender and sexuality. In an illuminating chapter on the Yogyakarta Principles, Kuby explains how a group of unaccountable 'human rights experts' met in 2007 to formulate a detailed manual for implementing gender ideology worldwide. In common with international human rights instruments, the document is subject to evolution and the 'activist's guide' states that the scope of the principles will expand. As the European legal commentator Jakob Cornides has observed: 'the rights they seek to promote are no human rights, but the slogans of a pressure group' (p.73). **Political rape of language:** A chapter entitled 'Political rape of language' notes how terms are 'thrown out, emptied of meaning, perverted, outlawed, forbidden and arbitrarily invented':

- Terms that express traditional values are made suspicious and discarded. Example: Chastity.
- Terms with positive connotations are given new content and then exploited. Example: Diversity.
- New terms are invented for transmitting new ideologies. Example: Polyamory.
- New terms are invented to smear opponents. Example: Homophobia. (p.110)

In a brief review it is not possible to do justice to such a wide-ranging and insightful study. Non-Catholics may not share the author's view that her Church is 'the last bastion for defending Christian sexual morality as an indispensable precondition for marriage and the family' (p.233), nor that 'the monastic idea of poverty, chastity

to page 6



True Family Values

Love for One's Nation

A. The way of the patriot.

1. How can a single family prosper if its nation is in chaos or is plagued by violence and war? How can we raise pure children if the nation is decadent? The misfortunes of the nation will inevitably destroy the peace of even the most godly family.

- In the 1830s, Alexis de Tocqueville accurately predicted this crisis would come in America; a time when “a bloated central power [will] administer to the needs of an infantilized population.” [Brian C. Anderson, “Tocqueville’s Mirror,” First Things, March, 1996, pp. 54-55.] This is the greatest challenge to America, calling for a response of love for our nation.
- True patriotism is not just to fight external attacks.
- True patriotism will prevent democracy from destroying itself through its tendency to gradually enforce external equality of conditions, and conformity of behavior and opinions.

2. True love, the vertical ethic, leads families to live for the prosperity, peace and development of the nation. This is also called civic virtue.

- We received protection, security and sustenance from our nation.
- Therefore, we have a debt to our nation.
- We should pay back this debt by serving our nation with gratitude and love.
- Paying back the debt creates a deeper bond of love with our nation. This makes the base for an ever-developing relationship, energy, prosperity and happiness.
- As a result, we gain much more, including good fortune in the future.
- Society prospers when its families get involved in public affairs.
- Volunteer associations and mediating organizations.
Churches, block associations, civic groups, charities, advocacy groups, support groups and so forth. Local responsibility and control is much preferable to the intervention of the state. Government service, military service, foreign service: a nation is represented by its leading families, those devoted to public service. Private, sacrificial devotion to public service, and not careers as professional politicians, is the essence of self-government. This is accomplished when people can govern themselves by the application of the vertical ethic (civic virtue and patriotism).

3. Societies in which families do not have a sense of civic duty are impoverished.

- Oligarchy: a few families or elite’s control society and hoard its wealth.
In traditional Asian society, the Confucian ethic became inflexible when not tempered by Christian

values. In traditional western societies, Christian institutions combined with the state to dominate the people. Powerful families ran both church and state. In Communist societies, the Party dominated the people. In welfare liberalism, the machinery of government backed by laws dominates the people. Without a populace practiced in civic virtue, creativity, flexible and responsive institutions and ultimately human happiness are suppressed. If families do not live for the nation, then the nation will be dominated by people who live for themselves.

- Christian teaching is for each of us to live for God beyond the family, nation and cosmos.

Jesus called people to sell their possessions and give to the poor. Jesus spoke of the lost sheep and ministered to society’s outcasts, thus pointing out our responsibility to help the poor and weak. American philanthropy is an expression of the Calvinist idea that wealth is a gift of God and that I can be justified in having it only if I invest it for the benefit of society. Unlike traditional elite’s, American Protestant elite’s devoted most of their wealth to creating public institutions, in particular universities, which served to raise the level of all the people in America and overseas.

4. Patriotism does not mean narrow-minded nationalism.

- Exalting one’s own nation at the expense of other nations is evil.
- All nations prosper together in God’s world.
- Ultimately, the world is my nation. Yet, I can be proud of the good characteristics and contributions of my nation. All people are proud of what their nation contributed to the world. Therefore each nation boasts of its unique inventions which have been adopted by other nations.
- Every nation can compete to excel in serving the world.

5. Patriotism is the expansion of filial piety to the national level.

- Just as the child of filial piety serves the family by attending his parents, the patriot serves his nation by attending the king or president. Examples of this type of patriot:
Joseph in Egypt, Joan of Arc, Ulysses. S. Grant.
- The patriot assumes leadership in times of great trial. Examples of this type of patriot are:
King David, Abraham Lincoln, Golda Meir, Lech Walesa.

6. The patriot loves his country irrespective of the qualities of its present leadership.

- If the leadership is in error, patriotism includes responsible appeals to make the ruler see his error. This should be constructive, with the heart to participate in the ruler’s responsibility.

(to be continued....)

(from the book “True Family Values” by Wilson and Pak <http://www.hsabooks.com/books>)

from page 4 *The Global Sexual Revolution*

and obedience' is 'the spiritual bulwark against the West's cultural identity' (p.275). Nevertheless, Gabrielle Kuby has performed an invaluable service in exposing a top-down cultural revolution which is largely taking place behind our backs and which is eroding our freedoms step by step. **Rallying cry:** The huge political and financial resources of the UN, the EU and many Western governments, together with the power and influence of global corporations, foundations and NGOs are lending their united support to a global sexual revolution set on deconstructing the binary gender order, deregulating sexual norms and dissolving the family. Yet Kuby concludes on a positive note with a rallying cry to her readers to join the growing resistance movement and to speak out in defence of true freedom while there is still time. 'The price the individual has to pay for objecting and opposing increases the longer he keeps quiet and the more social and political power the minority gains... The higher the price, the fewer people are willing to pay it. But the triumphant minority can never get enough. The slippery slope into totalitarianism is greased with the fraudulent promise of limitless individual freedom. But because this type of freedom turns people into slaves to their urges, it can lead only to bondage. A society can be free only to the degree that the people who make it up are themselves free to do good. No state can be founded on lust or greed.' (p.243)

Morality Forum Action

Dear John, Thank you so very much for being with us last Sunday and firing up God's people. May God continue to shield you from the adversary in Jesus name. Every blessing. Chika Andy Johnson visited his MP Claire Perry, to speak to her about the LGBT agenda, which Andy is very concerned about. John O'Neill has received very positive feedback after speaking at different Christian services and other related Christian events in recent months, (including speaking at a seminar organised by the Association of British Clergy, on June 17th) highlighting the LGBT agenda and other relevant issues such as abortion and sex education in schools. Together with other members of the Harrow branch of the Society for The Protection of Unborn Children, on July 29th, John O'Neill delivered abortion information leaflets door-to-door in Harrow Weald, NW London. Items from the SPUC have been widely distributed in recent months: The, *SPUC PRO-LIFE TODAY* newsletter. *We care about women – Why abortion should not be decriminalised* booklets, and leaflets and postcards regarding the SPUC 50th Anniversary tour, and also postcards concerning *Boots and the Morning After Pill*. The Morality Forum Update is sent to Politicians, Editors of all the main national newspapers, Journalists and Religious Leaders etc.

Politically correct moral reasoning

By Stephen Stacey

continued from previous edition

With such a mindset, many become angry and abusive. We find many people are now willing to attack and harass any person who seeks social well-being as viewed through the eyes of the more traditional forms of moral evaluation. "Political correctness does not legislate tolerance; it only organizes hatred" - Jacques Barzun. For example, anyone who now uses the more traditional forms of moral reasoning to evaluate the redefining of marriage so as to suit same-sex couples, and sees the very real harm that this causes for children, is in a terrible place in society. Also, it is often perilous for a person's career for them to say, "I think a man shouldn't be able to walk

into a woman's shower room." It is the ferocious response of the cultural Marxists that now keeps many professionals silent; unable to share what their natural, moral reasoning shows them to be true. We need to ask who in society can now stand up to these 'we must have fairness' mobs. It would be nice if politicians, judges or academics take responsibility for the youth wing they have created through the passing of so many laws that are solely based on PC moral reasoning. Can they now act as shields to protect good people; responsible citizens who just wish to protect children? At least an acceptance that both sides of the argument might be making a valid point would be nice. Sadly, on the basis of such a distorted view of understanding right or wrong, it is almost impossible to hold a reasoned discussion and come to a reasonable conclusion on many really important issues today. "If freedom of speech is taken away, then dumb and silent we may be led, like sheep to the slaughter." - George Washington. Even the judges of Supreme Courts in many countries find simple, moral reasoning is hard work when activists are shouting, "Bigot!" "Intolerant!" and "It's unfair!" in their ears. We have found ourselves in a very precarious place, a very hazardous place indeed. No tactic of the powers opposing Judeo-Christian mores has proven more effective than Political Correctness, wielded skilfully by the Radical Left. Why is Political Correctness so effective? Non-adherents are threatened with social isolation and anaclitic depression. Though we don't often recognize it, the tyrannical tool wielded within young peer groups is such a powerful force in middle schools, high schools, and college campuses and it retains all its horrifying power to intimidate American adults, causing many to suppress free inquiry and re-channel behaviours... "The media plays an enormous role in creating information and opinion cascades by controlling the narrative, i.e., the flow of both information and opinion. In this way, the Left has been wildly successful in the Manufacture of Consent." - Doug Mainwaring. All authoritarian societies create aggressive youth wings. Hitler had his Hitler-Jugend. The USSR had its Young Pioneers. We now have the cultural Marxists and progressive liberals who attack online and through the media. "Threats to freedom of speech, writing and action, though often trivial in isolation, are cumulative in their effect and, unless checked, lead to a general disrespect for the rights of the citizen." - George Orwell. So, we find ourselves trapped in lies that are profound in nature and one sincerely wonders how society can find its way out of its deadly, erroneous thinking. A large number of the issues that the Democrats in the USA now fight for are based on socially-decaying, PC morality. One cannot evaluate anything sensibly based purely on the values of fairness, tolerance, and inclusivity. To do this is to get caught up in idealistic passions, to be led a merry dance, to believe that you are doing something so right and then, in the end, you end up with so many people getting hurt and no healthier world in sight. And, when the energy of 'we want fairness' is spent, when large amounts of power and money is in the hands of a few people who have no grounding in traditional moral reasoning and there is really no hope in sight, then society has to come back round to start thinking about a more workable, lasting solution, one that is based on more traditional methods of moral reasoning. But the damage that was done is done, and it takes decades, maybe centuries to recover. It might take East Europe and Russia over a hundred years to recover from their short, Marxian history. "Without free speech no search for truth is possible... no discovery of truth is useful... Better a thousand fold abuse of free speech than denial of free speech. The abuse dies in a day, but the denial slays the life of the people and entombs the hope of the race." - Charles Bradlaugh

How one conservative stood up to transgender bullies... and won -

By Jonathon Van Maren



August 2, 2017 (LifeSiteNews) — Since the publication of my 2016 book *The Culture War*, I've found myself giving quite a few lectures on the various insanities that have become cultural dogmas over the past several decades. From the abortion extremism of the progressive Left to the systematic breakdown of the family, from the

pandemic-level consumption of online pornography to the transgender craze, it often seems as if Western Civilization — or whatever's left of it — is spinning out of control. That is why, when I was asked if I could give one good reason for optimism, I had to pause for a moment.

There are many, of course. Pro-life organizations continue to grow and dissuade women from having abortions.

Recent developments on the political front indicate that the voices of social conservatives are still being heard. Academics and government officials are beginning to realize that hardcore pornography is having horrifically adverse effects on children. But one answer sprang to mind: Dr. Jordan B. Peterson. Most of my readers will be familiar with Dr. Peterson's story. A professor of psychology at the University of Toronto, Peterson became an overnight sensation when he released several videos on his YouTube account, explaining why he opposed Bill C-16, which purported to enshrine legal protections for transgender people into Canadian law. In reality, Peterson pointed out, these laws could be used to police speech — and even worse, could compel people to use the recently-invented “transgender pronouns” for those who refuse to identify as either male or female such as “ze” or “zir.” Such infringements on such an essential right were unconscionable, said Peterson, and he would not be using those pronouns regardless of what the state demanded of him. The reaction to Peterson's videos was at first predictable. He was accused of violence by a fellow panelist on *The Agenda* with Steve Paikin. Transgender activists insisted he was a bigot and a transphobe. His university sent him a letter warning him that his refusal would violate the rights of transgender people. Media outlets pilloried him as a man using his position to express his hatred, and quoted progressives and LGBTQ activists saying the same thing. It looked as if the standard media-lynching we've seen so frequently over the past several years would again succeed — those brave souls who are willing to articulate opinions contrary to the current progressive ideology generally run afoul of the tolerance buzz saw and see their careers and reputations destroyed, or worse.

Instead, something remarkable happened. Dr. Jordan B. Peterson, an academic who dared to stand up to the transgender lobby, didn't get fired. He got famous. His YouTube account, where he posts his lectures and other musings, has over



355,000 subscribers. Thousands of fans flooded his Patreon account with donations, from which he now earns over \$30,000 a month from over 5,000 fans. He became one of the most on-demand interviewees from Sam Harris' podcast to Steven Crowder's YouTube show, among dozens of other media outlets. Even a photographer's photo essay of Peterson at his Toronto home accrued over 300,000 hits in a matter of days. For the first time in a very long time, an academic stood up to the politically correct progressive lobby — and won. Dr. Peterson's success is even more remarkable when you consider the positions he articulates. Abortion, he said in response to one question, is “clearly wrong.” Pornography is “deadening” and men should stop watching it. Young men need to “grow up” and get their lives together. Young people should pursue children and marriage. Even more than that, he warns his audiences that they are not good people — that each of them harbors demons within, and that this fact should drive them to become better people. None of this would have been particularly radical fifty or so years ago. In 2017, it's as if Peterson is taking a machine gun to a field of sacred cows — and yet, his popularity only grows.

His appeal defies common tropes. Considering the mocking analyses of the millennial generation that permeate discourse on the Left and the Right — much of it warranted — I was shocked to witness a lecture hall at the University of Western Ontario packed to the gills with young people, all thrilled to listen to a nearly two-hour lecture that pulled no punches and was filled with stern advice. Peterson, it seems, is telling young people to sort their lives out — and many respond by rising to the occasion. In fact, one writer over at the *National Review* called Peterson “YouTube's New Father Figure” — a generation in which thousands of young men grow up fatherless and thus without the guidance a father gives are finding Peterson's firm rebukes and encouragements exactly what they've been looking for. Those progressives who deride Peterson as a hater may want to think twice, too. The burgeoning alt-right movement, which is made up predominantly of angry young men who despise the suffocating culture of political correctness and often respond by engaging in vile Internet memes and crude misogynist harassment, is being robbed of many followers by Peterson. I've seen this for myself — young men attracted to the alt-right as an outlet for their frustrations instead gravitating toward Peterson's calls to grow up and become better people. Peterson is not a hater — and it turns out that his work can be quite an effective antidote to those that are. Considering all this, it is nearly impossible to see Dr. Jordan B. Peterson's rise as anything but encouraging. He stood up to the enforcers of political correctness, and he won. He has not only withstood the attempts of cultural Marxists to destroy his career and reputation, but has uncovered a massive audience of young people who are fed up with political correctness, too — and were simply waiting for someone to articulate why with the intelligence and insight Peterson provides. In their failure to destroy Peterson, progressives have revealed both a fundamental weakness — intellectual bankruptcy — and a deep fatigue of their constant demands and social engineering in a much wider swathe of the population than they must have expected. So who knows? Perhaps one day, decades hence, Dr. Jordan B. Peterson's stand will be seen as the tipping point — the moment that politically correct progressives finally met their match.

Global Women's Gathering on Leadership and Networking

In conjunction with CSW61, Women's Federation for World Peace International and WFWP, USA organized the Horizon Summit, a global women's gathering on leadership and networking, with three separate events over a period of four days from March 16 to 19, 2017 New York - At-the-UN/Young-Women/Horizon-Summit-2017

By: Nicole Thurner and Sungmi Orr

On Thursday, March 16, Dr. Thomas Ward, Co-Chair of the Research Institute for the Integration of World Thought, Member of the Executive Committee of the World University Federation, and Dean at the University of Bridgeport, gave presentations on strategic planning and peace studies. He walked the participants through the strategies used by the Women's Suffrage Movement to organize themselves and accomplish their goals and how these practices may be applicable to the development of WFWPI. On Saturday, March 18, participants gathered at a lovely private estate in Irvington, New York to hear from several speakers. Angelika Selle, International Vice President of WFWPI and President of WFWP, USA, began with introductory remarks. She emphasized the importance of being an example at home by practicing leadership through serving others and developing a parental heart. She urged participants to strive for a new paradigm of leadership in the world, which begins in the hearts of every person. The next speaker was Dr. Rima Salah, a former Deputy Assistant Secretary General of the UN, as well as former member of UN Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon's High Independent Panel on Peace Operations. Dr. Salah made several important points on how NGOs need to work with governments, as they can have a profound impact on decisions affecting society. Participants were inspired by Dr. Salah's charisma and passion. An intimate discussion with the participants followed where Dr. Salah gave further insight and advice on how women can work together on the grassroots level and specifically in certain regions of high tension. Dr. Karen Judd Smith, Co-Chair of the Alliance of NGOs on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice, and Founder of the NGO Academy, gave a talk on advocacy, sharing key insights from her work with the United Nations and from her Amazon Bestseller *United Nations Unlocked*. Dr. Smith mentioned that one important approach for success is a mindset of ongoing self-reflection for self-improvement. She asked the audience whether they see challenges as barriers or great opportunities and to question whether their motivation comes from taking from or giving to others. Dr. Smith emphasized how these assumptions and motivations are key for success and prosperity in the type of work WFWPI does. Dr. Thomas Ward concluded the day's program with an interactive exercise that explored the concept of making mutually beneficial decisions between nations, both for the prosperity of the nation as well as for that of the world. A brief discussion followed among the younger generation of participants on the takeaways from the day and their own role in advocacy. The general consensus among the group was that they felt a deep need to become experts in their various fields in order to influence policies and bring about the change they wish to see. The summit continued the following day with an educational trip to the FDR Presidential Library, and the Val-Kill National

Historic Site, in Hyde Park, New York to explore Franklin and Eleanor Roosevelt's contribution to the founding of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Throughout the tour, the striking images of and quotes by Eleanor Roosevelt represented her lifelong work as a diplomat, activist, journalist, educator, party leader and "First Lady of the World." Participants left with a deeper understanding of the foundation upon which the UN was established.

UPDATE: MassResistance book on LGBT health risks gaining endorsements from physicians and conservative activists

Still the only book covering this crucial subject that no one wants to discuss.



Dr. Alveda King, niece of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. with Brian Camenker (the founder of MassResistance), as she was taking a copy of the book at a recent national pro-family Values Summit at Washington D.C.

Our groundbreaking MassResistance book, *The Health Hazards of Homosexuality*, is really getting people's attention. This month the book was endorsed by two more prominent physicians, Dr. Michelle Cretella, president of the American College of Pediatricians, and Dr. John Diggs, a prominent internist in Massachusetts. The book also continues to be endorsed by prominent pro-family leaders across the country and discussed in conservative media. Most important, the book fills a huge void that the medical establishment, the mainstream media, and the popular culture refuse to address. The book documents the vast and shocking medical and psychological health problems surrounding LGBT behaviour that are rarely discussed, but commonplace. It brings together research from widely dispersed sources including the federal Centres for Disease Control, medical professional groups, published medical research, media reports, and even LGBT medical groups – and presents it for the reader in clear layman's terms. Moreover, it is a tool and information source that is sorely needed by the average person confronted by the overwhelming and aggressive LGBT agenda throughout society. Physicians recommend the book because it is virtually the only exhaustive compendium available, taken from unimpeachable sources. Moreover, it deals with the fallout from LGBT behaviours as a public health issue.

Activists see the book as the crucial missing piece for parents and citizens confronted by all the school programs, media propaganda, government mandates, corporate intimidation, and everything else that the LGBT agenda controls and pushes. Despite all the media propaganda, the actual scientific data shows that the LGBT lifestyle is anything but stable and healthy. Michelle Cretella, MD, President of the American College of Pediatricians: "When it comes to the topic of sexual health, our youth have the distinction of being among the most lied-to generation. For this reason alone, *The Health Hazards of Homosexuality* should be required reading for all health professionals, educators, legislators, graduate students, and parents. Mr. Camenker and his research team are to be congratulated on this tour-de-force." To order the book: <https://www.amazon.co.uk>