

Colorado Family Church: Urgent Letter Campaign for Japan

Michael and Adonia Hentrich
October 5, 2023



Dear family members,

We pray you are well. We thank all who were able to take part in this weekend's "New Era of Cheon Won Gung" experience and we hope that you are carrying with you new inspiration as we navigate this challenging, but exciting, future.

Despite great internal developments, our movement is facing serious challenges both at home and abroad. The most fierce and urgent is our movement in Japan being in danger of having the church dissolved by the government even though the church has broken no laws. The Prime Minister and the courts will make a decision soon and there is a chance they may act in violation of the Japanese Constitution's guarantee of religious freedom.

In light of this critical situation, we believe that America, the Elder Son Nation, must raise our voice! For years we have received from our Mother Nation, and now is our chance to be there for them when they need it the most. Therefore, we are asking our members to write letters of deep concern to the Japanese Embassy and the Consulate General of Japan, Los Angeles. Please write in either Japanese or English.

Attached are a few key documents you can review in preparation of writing your letters:

1) The complaint filed with the UN Human Rights Committee on September 9, 2022 by the Coordination of Associations and Individuals for the Liberty of Conscience (CAP-LC): [Click here to open](#)

2) A "To Whom it May Concern" letter signed by former Republican Congressman Dan Burton, former Democrat Congresswoman Loretta Sanchez and UPF International President Dr. Michael Jenkins.: [Click here](#)

[to open](#)

3) An example letter to Japanese Ambassador TOMITA Koji that was created by our national leadership (included is the address for mailing): [Click here to open](#)

--> **This letter is meant to be used as a guideline, rather than something you send out "as is." It is important to reconstruct the letter in your own words.**

Addresses for mailing:

Embassy of Japan

2520 Massachusetts Ave, NW

Washington DC, 20008

Consulate General of Japan in Los Angeles

350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 1700,

Los Angeles, CA 90071

***** [More In-Depth Approach Packet](#) for those that would wish to take an even more substantial step in helping the Japanese movement through this letter campaign!**

Thank you all for considering supporting Japan in this most crucial time.

Let's raise our voice as the Elder Son! Let's pray with earnestness and write with courage!

God Bless You!

Japan Religious Freedom Support Team



Started September 17, 2023
Petition to Prime Mi Fumio Kishida and other relevant authorities in Japan

Why this petition matters

Started by [Utah YAYAM](#)

As members of the Family Federation for World Peace and Unification, we are deeply concerned about the current threat to our fundamental right to freedom of religion in Japan. Our movement is rooted in love, compassion, and the belief that every individual is a precious child of God. We strive towards world peace by building loving, God-centered families.

However, our faith is now under attack. The Prime Minister of Japan is attempting to pass legislation that would dismantle our church and seize all of our assets. This not only infringes upon our fundamental rights but also

2,153 Signatures **2,500** Next Goal

932 people signed this week

Sign this petition

First name

Last name

Email

Denver, 80207 United States

Display my name and comment on this petition

Sign this petition

By signing, you accept Change.org's [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#), and agree to receive occasional emails about campaigns on Change.org. You can unsubscribe at any time.

COMMUNITY CALENDAR

Give back





UNIVERSAL PEACE FEDERATION

To whom it may concern:

We are a bipartisan group of both Democrats and Republicans who are concerned about violations of religious freedom in Japan that go against Japan's own constitutional protections of religion.

Congressman Danny Davis, a member of the House Government Oversight Committee's Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties has voiced his concern over violations of Japan's constitutionally guaranteed religious freedom regarding the Unification Church. These violations against one religious group have now expanded to include all faiths in Japan. Congressman Davis expressed his concern directly to Ambassador Rashad Hussain, Ambassador-at-Large for the State Department's International Religious Freedom Department, as have many of us.

The three of us, including former Congresswoman Loretta Sanchez (D-CA), former Congressman Dan Burton (R-IN), and Dr. Michael Jenkins, President of Universal Peace Federation International, are deeply worried about the religious freedom violations going on there. In addition, the International Religious Freedom (IRF) has also sent a letter to Japanese Prime Minister Kishida and key cabinet members and legislators in Japan with 19 signatories of major religious freedom experts calling on Japan not to pass a law that would infringe on all faiths. Unfortunately, the law did pass and there are calls from the Prime Minister to dissolve the Unification Church (which has not been charged with any wrongdoing). The letters from Congressman Davis and the IRF are attached.

The violations in Japan at the direction of the government, are causing harm to the members of the The Family Federation for World Peace (the Unification Church) there as well its affiliate the Universal Peace Federation, an NGO with General Consultative Status with ECOSOC of the United Nations. From 1988-2013, the Japanese government refused to enforce laws when over 4300 members of the Unification Church were abducted and forced to recant their faith. At that time the Office of Religious Freedom at the State Department played a key role. One member, Mr. Goto, was held prisoner by kidnappers for 12 years. His lawsuit was successful in stopping the forcible faith-breaking activities.

Attached is a complaint that was filed with the UN Human Rights Committee on September 9, 2022 by the Coordination of Associations and Individuals for the Liberty of Conscience (CAP-LC). CAP-LC is a non-governmental organization in Special Consultative Status with the UN ECOSOC. Below is a paraphrased excerpt from that document.

The assassination in Nara, Japan, of former Japanese Prime Minister Shinzo Abe on July 8, 2022, was followed by a campaign of intolerance, discrimination, and persecution of the Unification Church, now called the Family Federation for World Peace and Unification. During the course of this campaign, which is ongoing now, the human rights of the members of the Unification Church in Japan are being seriously, systematically, and blatantly violated.

The attachment outlines specific violations of the UN International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights submitted to the UN Human Rights Committee by CAP-LC on September 9, 2022.

UPF is an NGO in General Consultative Status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations

3600 New York Ave. N.E.
Washington D.C. 20002
Website: www.upf.org

cell: (917) 291-5286
email: mwjenkins22@gmail.com

We would like to request a few minutes of your time to discuss in person the devastating impact on religious freedom for all faiths in Japan created by ill-concieved legislation driven by media hysteria. Contact information for Michael Jenkins: cell: 917 291-5286; email: mwjenkins22@gmail.com

Thank you in advance for your consideration.



Michael Jenkins, D.Min.
President
UPF International
The Washington Times Foundation



Loretta Sanchez
Member of Congress
D-CA, 1997-2017



Dan Burton
Member of Congress
R-IN, 1983-2013

Statement submitted to The United Nations' Human Rights Committee in Geneva on Friday 9th September 2022 by Coordination des Associations et des Particuliers pour la Liberté de Conscience, ("CAP-LC") a non-governmental organization in special consultative status with E.C.O.S.O.C.

Subject:- Intolerance, Discrimination, and Persecution of the Unification Church/Family Federation for World Peace and Unification in Japan

The assassination in Nara, Japan, of former Japanese Prime Minister Shinzo Abe on July 8, 2022, was followed by a campaign of intolerance, discrimination, and persecution of the Unification Church, now called the Family Federation for World Peace and Unification (we will use "*Unification Church*" and "*Family Federation for World Peace and Unification*" interchangeably here, as most media do, although we are aware of the historical nuances and differences). During the course of this campaign, the human rights of the members of the Unification Church in Japan were seriously, systematically, and blatantly violated.

CAP-LC will detail what happened in Japan based on a study conducted by CESNUR, the highly respected Center for Studies on New Religions, whose results have been anticipated in the daily magazine "*Bitter Winter*" and are being published in the scholarly *The Journal of CESNUR*. Parts of CESNUR's report are reprinted here with permission.

1. Five Basic Facts

It seems appropriate to start from five basic facts.

First, the assassin, Tetsuya Yamagami, was not and had never been a member of the Unification Church/Family Federation.

Second, his mother did join the Unification Church in 1998, and remains a member. Yamagami's mother declared bankruptcy in 2002, a fact both Abe's killer and her brother-in-law blamed on the excessive donations she had made to the Church. After the brother-in-law complained, two Church members returned in installments 50% of the donations.

Third, Shinzo Abe was not a member of the Unification Church either. He participated via video in a 2021 event, and send a message to another event in 2022, of the Universal Peace Federation, an NGO founded by the leaders of the Unification Church. So did Donald Trump, former European Commission presidents José Manuel Barroso and Romano Prodi, Cambodia's Prime Minister Hun Sen, and dozens of other politicians of all persuasions (Amicarelli et al. 2022).

Fourth, his mother's bankruptcy, as he reported himself, caused Yamagami's hatred for the Unification Church. However, the bankruptcy occurred in 2002 and Yamagami killed Abe in 2022, twenty years later. What triggered Yamagami's killing frenzy in 2022, and not before? We know for a fact that Yamagami followed the hate campaigns against the Unification Church prevailing in Japan. He interacted on social media with fellow enemies of the Unification Church. The day before killing Abe, Yamagami wrote a letter to Kazuhiro Yonemoto (Yamagami 2022). Although Yonemoto deserves credit for having, in the past, opposed the practice of kidnapping members of the Unification Church for the purposes of deprogramming or "de-converting" them, he remains an opponent of the Church. Yamagami interacted with the anti-Unification-Church milieu, and was exposed to the hate speech against the Church, which may easily have turned his weak head.

Fifth, before killing Abe, Yamagami had planned to assassinate Dr. Hak Ja Han Moon, the leader of the Family Federation (*Mainichi Shimbun* 2022). He also tested his weapon by shooting at a building that had once been used as a Family Federation church (*The Japan Times* 2022a).

Yamagami hated the Church, and this hate was fueled by the hate speech of the anti-Unification-Church activists. To hide their responsibility, they blamed the Unification Church, which was clearly a victim, as if it were the perpetrator.

2. Violations of Articles 17 and 19.3.a ICCPR: Arbitrary Interference with Privacy and Attacks on Honor and Reputation

After the assassination of Abe, all of a sudden even non-Japanese media became familiar with a group called the National Network of Lawyers Against Spiritual Sales. The network, now including some 300 lawyers, was established in Japan in 1987 to combat the Unification Church, although it occasionally targeted other religious movements as well.

To avoid the possible criticism that the campaigns of the hostile lawyers had excited the feeble mind of the killer, the Network decided to strike preemptively. It held press conferences blaming the Unification Church for what happened, turning the perpetrator into the victim and vice versa.

Most international media bought the version of the Network, without investigating who exactly these lawyers are. They also ignored a precedent that once caught the international attention of human rights activists and even of the U.S. Department of State (see U.S. Department of State Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights, and

Labor 2010). From 1966 to 2015, some 4,300 adult members of the Unification Church were kidnapped at the instigation of their parents, locked in apartments, and submitted to “deprogramming” (Fautré 2012), a practice invented in the United States but declared illegal by courts of law there.

Members of religions their parents did not approve of were kidnapped, privately detained, and submitted to heavy physical and psychological pressures until they accepted to abandon their faith. Reprogramming had been forbidden in most democratic countries of the world, and only survived in Japan and South Korea.

Reprogramming in Japan also targeted the Jehovah’s Witnesses and other minority religions (U.S. Department of State Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights, and Labor 2010), and was particularly rough. A female member of the Unification Church accused a deprogrammer of having raped her for several months while he was trying to “deconvert” her (although she later became scared and withdrew the accusation). Having learned of the rape, years later her father committed suicide out of his shame for having hired the deprogrammer (Yoemoto 2008, 200–1).

Unification Church member Toru Goto was confined in apartments for more than twelve years in the unsuccessful attempt to deprogram him (Fautré 2012). It was his case that led the Supreme Court in 2015 to declare the deprogramming illegal and grant significant damages to Goto (two Unification Church believers had won cases before him, but had received only small awards of damages). After this decision, the practice ceased, although in 2021 there was a new case, when parents detained a Unification Church member in their home rather than in an apartment, then claimed it was just a family affair.

The most visible lawyers in the Network, Hiroshi Yamaguchi (who represented Goto’s main tormenter, Takashi Miyamura), Hiroshi Watanabe, and Masaki Kito, were involved in defending those accused of having acted as deprogrammers. Some attorneys in the Network relied on deprogrammers who sent to them their deprogrammed victims. They were then persuaded to sue the Unification Church, generating significant revenues for the lawyers.

It is also more than a curiosity that in the case of Yamaguchi, his enmity towards the Unification Church pre-dates the foundation of the Network. In 1979, Soviet KGB agent and top spy in Japan Stanislav Levchenko defected to the U.S. He testified that prominent Japanese politicians, mostly connected with the Socialist Party of Japan (SPJ), including the SPJ Chairman Seiichi Katsumata (1908–1989) were paid Soviet agents (Levchenko 1988). Although Levchenko’s revelations were later confirmed by documents discovered in Russian archives after the fall of the Soviet Union, in 1983 (Andrew and Mitrokhin 2005, 300), the SPJ answered

by denouncing a conspiracy organized by the CIA through the International Federation for Victory Over Communism (IFVOC), an organization connected with the Unification Church. IFVOC sued the SPJ. Yamaguchi represented the SPJ but lost the case, which was later settled with the SPJ paying two million yen to IFVOC as a settlement fee.

Not all the Network's lawyers supported the kidnappings. According to CESNUR's research, to his credit one of them, Yoshiro Ito, suggested in 1996 that the Network should cease its cooperation with Miyamura. As late as the 2021 case, however, a Network's lawyer, Yasuo Kawai, assisted the parents who tried to revive the illegal practice of deprogramming.

For some lawyers in the network the campaigns against the Unification Church were tools to protect deprogramming and the subsequent lawsuits by deprogrammed ex-members against the Church, both lucrative businesses. A not less lucrative venture is suing the Unification Church on behalf of donors persuaded by the lawyers that they can recover their donations. The Network's lawyers are keen to offer figures about these donations, but how much money they made as attorneys out of these cases is not disclosed.

They are also not above resorting to questionable tactics. In a case the Unification Church won against an ex-member at the Tokyo District Court on March 1, 2021, the judge found that the plaintiff had altered and backdated a personal notebook to fabricate evidence against the Unification Church (Tokyo District Court 2021).

We can safely conclude that the attorneys of the National Network of Lawyers Against Spiritual Sales are not knights in shining armor slaying the dragons of "cults," as their own propaganda claims, which is all too easily accepted by Japanese and international media. Although there are different positions among them on the issue of deprogramming, some prominent Network members defended violent kidnappers—and even Soviet spies—, submitted false documents fabricated by their clients to the judges, and spread slanderous information against the Unification Church that they knew was not true, including on donations, in violations of the part of Articles 17 and 19.3.a ICCPR forbidding slander of honor and reputation.

It is a cause for great concern that lawyers from the Network, which is certainly not an unbiased organization, nor one friendly to religious liberty, are currently participating in official "*commissions of experts*," including one convened by the Consumer Affairs Agency and the Ministry of Consumer Affairs, which are suggesting further measures against the Unification Church.

It is an old topic of anti-religious propaganda that religionists “*only want to make money.*” Totalitarian states invariably use this argument to justify religious persecution. We are now witnessing the same propaganda at work against the Unification Church/Family Federation in Japan. The main argument used by the National Network of Lawyers Against Spiritual Sales is that countless Japanese people have been ruined by both donations and the purchase of worthless artifacts sold by the Unification Church at extravagant prices.

“*Spiritual sales*” is a label coined by anti-Unification-Church leftist media in Japan in the 1980s. A company called “*Happy World*” imported into Japan and sold vases and miniature pagodas. Some of those who bought them were connected to small new religions other than the Unification Church, and declared that these artifacts were imbued with a good spiritual energy. Not surprisingly, Happy World was happy about it, and raised the prices. The Unification Church did not sell the vases and pagodas, and had nothing to do with claims about their alleged mystical powers. However, those who operated Happy World were Unification Church members, and donated to the Church part of their profits. Thus, they were accused of “*spiritual sales,*” particularly after the hostile lawyers’ association was founded in 1987.

After 1987, the sales of vases and pagodas stopped, but other Unification Church members had businesses selling artworks, jewelry, and seals or stamps used in Japan to confirm signatures. These stamps were exquisitely crafted and made of expensive materials, but they were sold at prices higher than usual, also because it was claimed they brought good luck, a common claim for different artifacts in Japan. Again, these items were not sold by the Unification Church but by members who then used part of their profits to donate to the Church.

In 2000, an existing law on door-to-door sales was significantly amended, and its name was changed to “*Act on Specified Commercial Transactions.*” It prohibited to “*intimidate or disturb*” prospective buyers in order to conclude a sale. Based on this law, members of the Unification Church who sold seals were detained, and eventually received suspended jail sentences. The then President of the Church in Japan acknowledged responsibility for not having instructed members about the new law and their duty to respect it. He resigned in 2009, and the Unification Church adopted a new policy counseling members whose businesses sold “*lucky*” artifacts, including stamps, to strictly comply with the 2000 law.

The hostile lawyers used the label “*spiritual sales*” also for donations to the Unification Church, a different matter. They claimed that the Church was “*selling*”

eternal salvation, both for the living and their deceased loved ones, against donations. They managed to persuade some Japanese courts to establish the dubious principle that if the amount of donations was high, it should be presumed they had been obtained through “*fraudulent or threatening*” means, or “psychological techniques” depriving the donors of their “*free will*” (a notion dangerously close to the discredited and pseudo-scientific theory of brainwashing: see Richardson 1993, 2015; Introvigne 2022).

Tokens of appreciation given to the donors may also be maliciously confused with items sold through “*spiritual sales*.” In some Catholic organizations, those who make important donations receive a book or diploma autographed by the Pope. Obviously, they are not “*buying*” the diploma or the book for an extravagant price. The book or the diploma are just symbolic reminders that the Church is grateful for their donations.

The lawyers relied on a frequent fallacy of campaigns against the groups labeled as “*cults*.” They present as unique practices they have in common with mainline religions. The Catholic Church believes that many souls after death go to Purgatory, a temporary state between Heaven and Hell. Time in Purgatory can be shortened by their relatives and friends through prayers, Masses for which they pay an honorary to the priest—and donations. Indeed, one of the reasons Martin Luther (1483–1546) separated from the Church of Rome was his dislike for the Catholic doctrine of indulgences, which taught that monetary offerings may automatically shorten time served in Purgatory. Buddhist orders have similar teachings, connecting donations with deceased relatives’ better reincarnations and escape from the dreaded Cold Hells.

Hundreds of Protestant churches maintain the Biblical principle of tithing, and ask members to donate ten percent of their income. Tithing is suggested as a possibility, although it is not mandatory, in the Unification Church too, which also has specific practices such as donating for four years in multiples of thirty, acknowledging the collective responsibility of humankind for Judas’ betrayal of Christ, whom he sold for thirty pieces of silver (Holy Spirit Association for the Unification of World Christianity 1992 [1991]).

In its general principles, the Unification Church’s theology of donations is surprisingly similar to its Catholic and Protestant counterparts. Japanese courts of law have started recognizing it, also because donors now sign notarized statements where they state that they are donating freely, understand all the implications, and will not sue the Unification Church in the future. In 2021, the Family Federation

still lost one donation case but won two others (including Tokyo District Court 2021).

We are deeply concerned by the fact that proposals in Japan that the already restrictive 2000 law should be amended to prohibit soliciting donations as well are seriously considered, including by the above-mentioned official commission, where voices are heard that clearly state that the aim is to prevent donations to the Unification Church. Obviously, this would violate a number of ICCPR provisions, including freedom of religion or belief (Article 18), non-discrimination (Article 26), and unreasonable limitations of rights and freedoms of associations to self-organize themselves and obtain the necessary resources for their operations (Article 22). Donations to a certain religion would be treated differently from donations to other religions, based on the idea that this religion practices something called “*psychic marketing*,” a bizarre label unknown to international scholars of religion that hides the discredited and pseudo-scientific idea of “*brainwashing*” (Richardson 1993, 2015; Introvigne 2022).

We are also concerned with the inauguration on September 5, 2022, of a government-operated “*telephone consultation service*,” through which officers will direct those who are “*experiencing trouble*” with the Unification Church to “*professional consultation entities including those offering legal help*,” by which they presumably mean anti-Unification-Church lawyers (*Japan Today* 2022). The fact that this service is offered only with respect to the Unification Church and not to countless other religious (and non-religious) groups whose members may be “*experiencing trouble*” is a clear case of discrimination prohibited by the ICCPR.

Ultimately, the problem is theological and philosophical. For a believer, donations may be deep spiritual experiences. For an atheist, or somebody who believes that groups such as the Unification Church are not “real” religions, no caution would be good enough, and no donation would ever be recognized as the fruit of a free and reasonable choice.

3. Violation of Article 25 ICCPR

On August 11–15, 2022, the Universal Peace Federation (UPF), an organization formally independent from the Unification Church/Family Federation but created by its same founders, the late Reverend Sun Myung Moon (1920–2012) and his wife, held its Summit 2022 and Leadership Conference in Seoul, South Korea. Among those who were present and lectured—many others attended through

videos—were prominent American politicians such as Mike Pompeo and Newt Gingrich. It was not a purely conservative gathering, as dozens of cabinet ministers and others attended from all over the world, and from all sorts of political persuasions.

All mentioned their gratitude not only to the UPF but specifically to Reverend and Mrs. Moon for their work on behalf of world peace. They probably knew that in Japan, media and some politicians were proposing purges and laws against politicians who attend UPF meetings, but they did not care. Indeed, some of the speakers expressed concern for what was happening in Japan, a blatant violation of Article 25 ICCPR, which guarantees the right of political participation to all citizens irrespective of their gender, ethnicity, or religion.

The Japanese critics of the Unification Church published lists to name and shame Japanese politicians who had attended events of the UPF and other organizations connected with the Unification Church. They called for them to publicly disassociate themselves from these organizations, and even asked cabinet ministers to resign.

Rumors were also spread in Japan and reported by international media without fact-checking. One was that Abe's grandfather, Prime Minister Nobusuke Kishi (1896–1987), invited the Unification Church to expand from Korea to Japan, hoping it could offer support to his conservative agenda. This claim is false. Korean missionaries brought the Unification Church to Japan in 1959, long before a Japanese member met Kishi in the mid-1960s. It is also false that, as some media claimed, the ruling Liberal Democratic Party (LDP) “*largely relies*” on the votes and campaign volunteers of the Unification Church to win elections. The LDP has some 20 million voters and more than one million active members (*Nikkei.com* 2020). Unification Church devotees may only account for a small percentage of these. Finally, it is false that only LDP politicians attend events of Unification-Church-related organizations. The same hostile media listed MPs of other parties who also participated (*The Japan Times* 2022b).

What is true is that Abe and his grandfather Kishi expressed sympathy not so much for the Unification Church as a religion but for a church-related organization called International Federation for Victory Over Communism (IFVOC), which had emerged as the leading anti-communist organization in Japan. It is not surprising that it called to support anti-communist politicians, and in turn politicians concerned with the Communist threat supported the IFVOC.

And why shouldn't they? Seen from any other democratic country, the Japanese controversy appears both surrealistic and dangerous. In Japan, the Komeito party, the junior partner of the LDP in the current government coalition, was founded by members of the largest local Buddhist movement, Soka Gakkai. Although formally separated from Soka Gakkai since 1970, it maintains close relationships with the Buddhist movement (McLaughlin 2019). Other religious groups, including liberal left-wing Catholics, have emerged as vocal critics of the LDP and support its opponents. Indeed, there is a century-old tradition in Japan of "*non-separation between religion and politics*," although it always had its critics too (Busacchi 2017).

In a democratic society, all citizens have a right to participate in political debate, support politicians of their choice, and campaign for one or another party. It would be deeply undemocratic to deny to religious believers a right recognized for all other citizens. Popes John Paul II and Benedict XVI (see Introvigne 2012), as well as scholars and leaders of other religions, have emphasized the distinction between secularity and secularism. While secularity is a needed protection against any confusion between religious and political authorities of the type prevailing in societies many label as "theocratic," secularism is an ideology that would forbid religious believers inspired by their faith to freely participate in politics, with the same rights and duties as all other citizens.

Excluding those who believe in God from political activism or office in the name of secularism makes them into second class citizens, deprived of their fundamental right of participating in the life and institutions of their country. Not less anti-democratic is excluding members of certain unpopular religions from politics. International institutions rightly censor Pakistan for preventing members of a religious minority called Ahmadiyya from voting and holding office (U.K. All-Party Parliamentary Group for the Ahmadiyya Muslim Community 2020, 42–4).

Investigating and denouncing politicians who attend events of the Unification Church and its related organizations carries with it a simple message. In Japan both the freedom of citizens who happen to be believers to fully participate as believers in the democratic process, and the freedom of politicians to consult and cooperate with leaders and members of religions of their choice, both protected by Article 25 ICCPR, are at risk.

The actions of political parties that, scared by media campaigns, ask their members not to cooperate with the Universal Peace Foundation or the Unification Church,

also violate Article 25 ICCPR. In a democratic system, political parties should also respect the ICCPR in their activities and statements.

In fact, some radical voices in the Japanese media ask precisely that politicians should be prevented from cooperating in any way not only with the Unification Church but with any religion. This is not healthy separation of church and state. It is ideological, anti-democratic, discriminatory secularism. Defending the rights of politicians to attend events of the Unification Church, or any other religion, and to have religionists among their supporters and volunteers without risking their seats and careers, means defending at the same time the religious liberty of all religions—and of all Japanese citizens.

4. Violation of Articles 9 and 18.3 ICCPR

Some members of the Unification Church/Family Federation in Japan have experienced violations of their rights to personal security (article 9 ICCPR) and not to be discriminated because of their belief in the workplace and in schools and other educational establishments (article 18.3 ICCPR) after the Abe assassination, with anti-cult lawyers and media suggesting that “cults” such as the Unification Church should be publicly shamed and punished.

As we have mentioned above, hate speech against the Unification Church is liberally disseminated in Japan. Not all those who listen to hate speech against religious minorities commit hate crimes, but some do. In Japan, the hate disseminated against the Unification Church even lead to death threats against some of its members.

Articles by Japanese media reporting these incidents offered to readers the possibility of posting comments. Some commented by adding more death threats. I hope the Japanese police are paying attention to these posts. We now know that Abe’s assassin started his career as a Unification Church hater by posting insults and threats on social media. We all know how the story ended.

Hate speech is, by its very nature, pervasive. Once it is disseminated through the media or the Internet, its effects can no longer be controlled. The CESNUR investigation collected reports of members of the Unification Church in Japan insulted in the streets, ridiculed in the workplace, bullied in schools and even divorced by their spouses. We can only hope and pray that verbal violence will not escalate to physical violence and perhaps murder. Fatal effects of hate speech are

not only a thing of the past. Every month, if not every week, Ahmadis are killed in Pakistan. They are members of a religious movement targeted by hate speech in the media and in sermons by preachers of the majority religion (UK All-Party Parliamentary Group for the Ahmadiyya Muslim Community 2020).

Hate speech also prepares the ground for discrimination, i.e., for laws targeting members of a minority group and making them second class citizens. It is already happening with the Unification Church in Japan. While donations to religions are tax-exempt, as happens in every democratic country in the world, it is argued that donations to the Unification Church are not given to a “*real*” religion but to a fraudulent “*cult*,” and should be regarded as consideration paid for sales and taxed as such. This is a violation of article 18 ICCPR, which forbids the use of any discriminatory tool targeting a particular religion or belief.

In 2011 and 2013 The European Court of Human Rights had to examine the case of France, which has a discriminatory official policy against “cults,” now hailed as a model by some in Japan, and which argued that donations to the Jehovah’s Witnesses and other groups it included in a list of “cults”, were not gifts but payments for goods or services and should be taxed. The European Court of Human Rights ruled that the redefinition of donations as payments for sales was just a tool used to discriminate against religious groups the French authorities did not like and labeled as “cults.” France had to give back the taxes the Jehovah’s Witnesses and two other religious movements had already paid, plus legal fees and damages (European Court of Human Rights 2011, 2013a, 2013b).

Japan is not part of the European Convention of Human Rights but has signed the ICCPR, which has parallel provisions in its article 18, which in turn corresponds to article 18 of the UDHR. In an official interpretation of the latter called General Comment no. 22, issued in 1993, the United Nations stated that “*Article 18 is not limited in its application to traditional religions.*” The United Nations warned against “*any tendency to discriminate against any religion or belief for any reason, including the fact that they are newly established, or represent religious minorities that may be the subject of hostility on the part of a predominant religious community*” (United Nations Human Rights Committee 1993, no. 2).

It should be obvious to everybody that giving the authorities the power to decide which religions are good and which are bad or “*cults*,” and to tax the donations to the latter by declaring they are not real donations, threatens all religious groups. It transforms the institutions of allegedly secular states into latter-day inquisitions.

In 1895, French anthropologist Gustave Le Bon (1841–1931) published what was to become an immensely influential book, “*The Crowd*” (Le Bon 1895, 1896). 20th century dictators admitted they found in the book a source of inspiration (Reicher 1996). Le Bon established a new science, which he called the “*psychology of the crowds.*” However, most of the crowds he described were bent on socially destructive action, and in contemporary English would rather be called “*mobs.*”

Le Bon described three stages of the process of creating a mob. The first is suggestion. He believed that citizens of modern societies are easily influenced and manipulated by the media and propaganda, a prophetic comment considering that he wrote well before the age of television and of the Internet. The second stage is contagion. In these days of epidemic, we are all well aware that a virus spreads invisibly but unstoppably. The same, Le Bon noted, happens with myths and disinformation, what we would today call fake news.

Le Bon’s third stage was anonymity. Individuals in a mob may not know each other, yet they exhibit the same behavior and seem to be governed by a “*group mind,*” which like a malignant spider at the center of an invisible web directs their actions. Acting anonymously, but knowing millions are doing what they do at the same time, those in a mob believe they do not have a personal responsibility, and experience an intoxicating feeling of invincibility.

Le Bon’s book is surprisingly modern, and might have been written with contemporary social media in mind. Protected by anonymity, millions of self-styled warriors in mob-like cyberwars insult their targets believing they can escape liability, and feeling they are anonymous soldiers in an invincible army.

Following the assassination of former Prime Minister Shinzo Abe, Japan is witnessing a textbook example of how a mob is created through suggestion, contagion, and anonymity. In the crime there is a culprit, Abe’s killer, and there are victims, Abe himself and the Unification Church, whose leader the assassin also planned to kill. Yet, mob psychology works independently of logic and facts.

Mobs are not created spontaneously. The Unification Church in Japan has powerful opponents. As we have seen, they spread suggestion to the media, manipulating many into believing that, rather than being a victim, the Church was somewhat responsible for Abe’s death. Contagion spread the suggestion, and an anonymous mob was formed, in which individuals who did not know each other followed a group mind, insulted, threatened, and in some cases committed crimes, feeling protected by being part of a crowd or by hiding behind their phones or computers.

In the period from Abe's assassination to the end of August 2022, the Unification Church in Japan had documented more than 400 hate incidents against its churches, organizations, and individual members. But they continue, and the number is probably higher, since not all local incidents are necessarily reported to the headquarters.

Examining the documentation on these cases makes for alarming reading. It shows how easily and quickly mobs are created today, with a technology that did not exist in Le Bon's time. Many of those who placed threatening phone calls, which were recorded, to the headquarters or branches of the Family Federation, started with sentences such as "*I read the media*" or "I watched TV." Through the typical process of mob psychology, they believed what they heard, persuaded that the media by definition "*the truth tell.*" Not only did they believe they had become instant "*experts*" on the Unification Church, but they also felt ready to "*do something*" and take the law into their own hands.

Because they had read it or heard it on TV, they believed they knew, and shouted at the phone or wrote on the Internet, that the Unification Church "*killed Abe*"—who was in fact killed by a fanatical opponent of that Church —, "*uses brainwashing*"—a notion discredited as pseudo-science long ago by mainline scholars of new religious movements (Richardson 1993, 2015; Introvigne 2022)—and "*commit crimes.*"

There is also a disturbing racist undertone in several phone calls and comments: "*You are Koreans, go back to Korea,*" "*We know, Koreans are only interested in money,*" "*You are a Korean anti-Japanese group.*" While the Unification Church was founded by Koreans, members in Japan are overwhelmingly Japanese.

Just as Le Bon predicted, anonymity and the toxic feeling of not being responsible, increasingly lead those in this mob to commit crimes. On July 17, somebody posted in an electronic bulletin board "*Tomorrow morning I will come to your headquarters and kill all with a knife.*" Death threats were received by Unification Church branches in Aichi, Hokkaido, and Osaka. In Nara, threats to kill the pastors reported to the police led to the precautionary closure of the local church.

In Tokyo, Nara, and Osaka, sound trucks cruised around the churches and shouted hostile slogans. Some were operated by right-wing extremists, who in Osaka on August 4 screamed "*Korean anti-Japanese group, get out of Japan!*"

In Aichi, on August 15, the church's mailbox was painted black, and graffiti hailing Abe's killer were spray-painted on it.

The suffering of individual members is growing and alarming. On July 18, a female believer in Gunma Prefecture was physically assaulted by her son and reported to the hospital with a broken rib. On July 23 in Aichi, a husband entered the premises of the Unification Church and beat his wife there. On August 16, in Nagano, a believer was badly beaten by her husband because she refused to leave the Unification Church. In several cases, believers were threatened with divorce by spouses who are not members of the Church. In some cases, divorce proceedings have indeed started. A couple in Gunma Prefecture who lived with their son and daughter-in-law was expelled from the latter's home on July 15, because they refused to leave the Unification Church. Many believers reported their spouses or other relatives had destroyed their Unification Church literature and, in some cases, even their cell phones, trying to prevent them from communicating with the Church.

To fully understand the danger of all this, we need to go back to Le Bon. One or two isolated incidents may be dismissed as minor, although it is always possible that death threats escalate into actual violence. One hundred or more incidents demonstrate that a mob of anonymous self-appointed vigilantes is now at work. They are unknown to each other but are all manipulated by the malignant spider at the center of the web—a spider that hates, slanders, discriminates, and may one day kill.

5. Violation of Article 18.1 ICCPR

On June 6, 2014, Japanese Prime Minister Shinzo Abe visited Pope Francis in the Vatican. He offered him a replica of a Japanese 17th-century "*secret mirror*." It looks like a normal mirror but, when inclined to intercept a ray from the sun, it reveals an image of Jesus Christ. Christians in Japan had to use the secret mirrors at that time since, if they were caught with a Christian image or symbol, they were executed. Abe apologized to the Catholic Church for the more than 5,000 Catholics who had been killed in Japan during the persecutions of the 16th and 17th centuries and beyond. Many of them were crucified (Respinti 2022).

As late as 1829, three women and three men were paraded through the streets of Osaka and crucified for being members of the "*evil cult*" of Christianity (perhaps they weren't) and for recruiting followers through the use of black magic (Miyazaki, Wildman Nakai, and Teeuwen 2020).

Abe's apology was commendable, but it might on the face of it be taken to refer to atrocities of a remote past. Or perhaps not. Scholars such as James T. Richardson and Wu Junqing have noted that not much has changed from the times when

witches were burned in the West and “*evil cults*” were bloodily persecuted in Imperial China and Japan (Kilbourne and Richardson 1996; Wu 2016, 2017). The only difference is that black magic has been secularized into brainwashing, a pseudo-scientific concept implying that “*cults*” now bewitch their followers through mysterious psychological techniques.

Ironically, while Abe apologized for the persecution of Christianity in Japan as an “*evil cult*” that used black magic, his assassination is being used to label the Unification Church/Family Federation as a “*cult*” that obtains donations through brainwashing, the modern version of black magic, and call for a crackdown on “*cults*” in general. Rather than blaming the assassin, and the hate campaigns against the Unification Church that may have excited him, the victims are put on trial in a spectacular reversal of both logic and fairness.

But what is a “*cult*”? A large majority of scholars of religions agree that there are no cults (Ashcraft 2018). “*Cult*” is just a label used to discriminate against groups that powerful lobbies, for whatever reasons, do not like. It was not always so. “*Cult*” and its functional equivalents in other languages derived from the Latin word “*secta*” such as the French “*secte*”—to be translated “*cult*” rather than “*sect*”—had a precise meaning in early-20th-century sociology. They indicated young religions, where most or all members had converted as adults rather than being born into the faith. The example used by the early sociologists was that Jesus and the apostles were part of a “*cult*” as none of them was born as a Christian; they were all converted Jews. After some centuries, those born Christians became the majority, and Christianity evolved from “*cult*” (or “*secte*” in French) to church. Most of the scholars who used this terminology were themselves Christians, and clearly for them the word “*cult*” had no negative implications.

However, during the course of the 20th century, with some older precedents, a new science, criminology, started using the word “*cult*” with a very different meaning. A “*cult*” was a religious group that systematically committed crimes or would likely commit crimes in the future. This meaning of “*cult*” was similar to the expression “*evil cult*” used to persecute and crucify Christians in Imperial Japan. It also created a confusion. A sociologist in the 1960s, asked whether Jesus and the apostles were part of a “*cult*,” should have answered “yes” based on traditional sociological categories but, since the criminological use of the term was conquering the media as well, would have risked to be misunderstood and accused of having labeled the first Christians as criminals (see Introvigne 2018, 2022).

For this reason, since at least the 1980s, international scholars of religion, led by British sociologist Eileen Barker, abandoned the word “*cult*” and adopted “*new religious movements*” for the newly established groups where most members were

first generation converts (Barker 1984). They were aware of the use of “*cult*” by criminologists, and did not deny the existence of groups that routinely commit crimes in the name of religion, among “*new*” but also among “*old*” religious traditions—such as networks of pedophile Catholic priests or terrorists who use or misuse the name of Islam. Since the word “*cult*” would only create confusion, they adopted other expressions, which later included “*criminal religious movements*,” suggested by the undersigned (Introvigne 2018).

Criminal religious movements are groups that systematically commit or at least incite the commission of common crimes such as physical violence, rape, child abuse, or murder. Since the late 1960s, activist “*anti-cult*” groups have appeared calling for the activities of “*cults*” to be limited. They defined them not as movements committing common crimes such as homicide or sexual abuse but as groups guilty of an imaginary crime, brainwashing. The word “*brainwashing*” was coined during the Cold War by the CIA to designate mysterious techniques allegedly used by the Chinese Maoist and the Soviets to turn almost instantly otherwise “*normal*” citizens into Communists. It was later applied to “*cults*.” By 1990, it had been debunked by religious scholars, as pseudo-science simply used to discriminate against certain groups, and rejected by courts of law, at least in the United States (for an overview, see Introvigne 2022).

The Abe assassination is now being used as a pretext for reviving the dead horse of brainwashing and of theories claiming that bad “*cults*,” unlike good “*religions*,” recruit members and donors through mental manipulation. Just as happened during the European witch hunts and the Japanese persecution of Christians for which Abe apologized, accusations of black magic—of which brainwashing is only the secularized version—and of operating an “*evil cult*” lead to the dehumanization and persecution of, as well as discrimination against, those so accused.

Some in Japan even call for a new anti-cult law following the model of the About-Picard statute passed in France in 2001. This law was passed 21 years ago, and three points are now clear, at least to the international human rights community and to the academic community of scholars of new religions.

First, the law violates several ICCPR articles and violates in particular Article 18 on freedom of religion or belief. When the French tax authorities tried to use the law and its faulty distinction between “*religions*” and “*cults*” to impose taxes on donations to “*cults*,” they were hit by the European Court of Human Rights, which condemned France in relation to three different “*cults*” (European Court of Human Rights 2011, 2013a, 2013b).

Second, the law is based on the idea that mysterious “*techniques able to alter the judgement*” exist. Domestic and international protests led to the elimination from the text of the law of the words “*manipulation mentale*” (literally “*mental manipulation*,” the French version of “*brainwashing*”) that were part of its first draft. However, the “*techniques able to alter the judgement*” were just a new metamorphosis of the old discredited and pseudo-scientific notions of brainwashing and mind control.

Third, after 21 years, scholars have confirmed what Canadian academic Susan Palmer had already noticed on the 10th anniversary of the law in 2011, i.e., that the About-Picard law only worked to limit the religious liberty of small groups with insufficient resources to hire good lawyers. It was never successfully enforced against the stereotypical large “cults” its proponents hoped to hit (Palmer 2011). It wasn’t, because by doing a modicum of homework a lawyer may easily find that an overwhelming majority of scholars of religion have concluded that the “*techniques able to alter judgement*” allegedly used by the “cults” are just a myth.

In several countries, the use of the word “cults” is a tool used to violate Article 18.1 ICCPR and to deny to unpopular groups their freedom of religion. In Japan, today, they come for the Unification Church. Tomorrow, they may come for any religion that has among its enemies lobbies powerful enough to persuade the media it is a “cult.” The persecution of the Unification Church in Japan should be stopped today. Tomorrow, it may be too late.

6. Conclusions

Japan is a signatory of the ICCPR. The national emotion surrounding the assassination of the former Prime Minister Shinzo Abe is used by some lobbies as an opportunity to violate the civil and political rights of a specific religious minority, the Unification Church/Family Federation. Both its rights as a religious organization and the human rights of its members are blatantly violated. Rather than punishing slander and defamation, certain official institutions endorse and promote it. Laws and other punitive measures are being proposed that would limit the freedom of religion or belief of one specific religion, deny the right of its members to fully contribute to the democratic process as Japanese citizens, deny to politicians the rights to consult and cooperate with devotees of the same specific religion, treat donations to this religion differently from donations to other religious groups and movements.

All this is not allowed by the ICCPR, and Japan should be reminded that the commitments it assumed when it signed the ICCPR cannot be held hostage to the shifting winds of public opinion.

While respecting freedom of expression, Japan should also regulate its media, taking into account documents from the U.N. and other international institutions about hate speech and media discrimination against minority religions.

We dare to hope that, in view of the ongoing suffering of the members of the Unification Church/Family Federation in Japan, these matters may be addressed urgently.

References

All-Party Parliamentary Group for the Ahmadiyya Muslim Community. 2020. *Suffocation of the Faithful: The Persecution of the Ahmadi Muslims in Pakistan and the Rise of International Extremism*. London: All-Party Parliamentary Group for the Ahmadiyya Muslim Community.

Amicarelli, Alessandro, Willy Fautré, Holly Folk, Massimo Introvigne, Marco Respinti, Bernadette Rigal-Cellard, and Rosita Šorytė. “The Universal Peace Federation: Moon Front or Respected NGO?” *The Journal of CESNUR* 6(2):62–80. DOI: 10.26338/tjoc.2022.6.2.3.

Andrew, Christopher M., and Vasili Mitrokhin. 2005. *The Mitrokhin Archive II: The KGB and the World*. London: Allen Lane.

Ashcraft, W. Michael. 2018. *A Historical Introduction to the Study of New Religious Movements*. London: Routledge.

Barker, Eileen. 1984. *The Making of a Moonie: Choice or Brainwashing?* Oxford: Basil Blackwell.

Busacchi, Vinicio. 2017. “On Daisaku Ikeda’s Interpretation of the Concept of Ōbutsu Myōgō and Its Consequences for Political Science.” *International Journal of Business and Social Science* 8(11):35–41.

European Court of Human Rights. 2011. *Association Les Témoins de Jéhovah c. France*. June 30. Accessed August 21, 2022. <http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-105386>.

European Court of Human Rights. 2013a. *Association des Chevaliers du Lotus d’Or c. France*. January 31. Accessed August 21, 2022. <http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-116118>.

European Court of Human Rights. 2013b. *Église Évangélique Missionnaire et Salaün c. France*. January 31. Accessed August 21, 2022. <http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-116116>.

Fautré, Willy. 2012. *Japan: Abduction and Deprivation of Freedom for the Purpose of Religious De-Conversion*. Brussels: Human Rights Without Frontiers.

Holy Spirit Association for the Unification of World Christianity 1992. *信仰生活と献金* (Life of Faith and Offerings). 2nd ed. Tokyo: Holy Spirit Association for the Unification of World Christianity [1st edition, 1991].

Introvigne, Massimo. 2012. “Pope Benedict XVI and Religious Liberty: Separating Fact from Fiction.” *Annalis Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska* 19(2):25–33.

Introvigne, Massimo. 2018. “*Xie Jiao* as ‘Criminal Religious Movements’: A New Look at Cult Controversies in China and Around the World.” *The Journal of CESNUR* 2(1):13–32. DOI: 10.26338/tjoc.2018.2.1.2.

Introvigne, Massimo. 2022. *Brainwashing: Reality or Myth?* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Japan Today. 2022. “Gov’t Starts Consultation Service for People Having Trouble with Unification Church.” September 6. Accessed September 6, 2022. <https://japantoday.com/category/national/japan-gov%27t-starts-consultation-service-for-unification-church-woes>.

Kilbourne, Brock K., and James T. Richardson. 1986. “Cultphobia.” *Thought: Fordham University Quarterly* 61(2):258–266.

Le Bon, Gustave. 1895. *Psychologie des foules*. Paris: Félix Alcan.

Le Bon, Gustave. 1896. *The Crowd: A Study in the Popular Mind*. English translation. London: T. Fisher Unwin.

Levchenko, Stanislav. 1988. *On the Wrong Side: My Life in the KGB*. Washington DC: Pergamon-Brassey’s.

Mainichi Shimbun. 2022. “宗教団体トップの襲撃難航「狙いを安倍氏に切り替えた」銃撃容疑者” (It Was Difficult to Attack the Leader of the Religious Group and “I Switched Target to Mr. Abe,” Says Shooting Suspect). July 9. Accessed August 26, 2022. <https://mainichi.jp/articles/20220709/k00/00m/040/204000c>.

McLaughlin, Levi. 2019. *Soka Gakkai’s Human Revolution: The Rise of a Mimetic Nation in Modern Japan*. Honolulu, HI: University of Hawai’i Press.

Miyazaki, Fumiko, Kate Wildman Nakai, and Mark Teeuwen, eds. 2020. *Christian Sorcerers on Trial: Records of the 1827 Osaka Incident*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Nikkei.com. 2020. “自民党員 7年ぶり減少 108万人、19年末時点” (LDP membership down for the first time in 7 years to 1.08 million, as of the end of 2019). March 2. Accessed August 26, 2022.
<https://www.nikkei.com/article/DGXMZO56295950S0A300C2PP8000/>.

Palmer, Susan. 2011. *The New Heretics of France: Minority Religions, la Republique, and the Government-Sponsored “War on Sects.”* New York: Oxford University Press.

Reicher, Stephen. 1996. “‘The Crowd’ Century: Reconciling Practical Success with Theoretical Failure.” *British Journal of Social Psychology* 35(4):535–53.

Respinti, Marco. 2022. “Abe Shinzo: The Day He Offered a Magic Mirror to the Pope.” *Bitter Winter*, July 23. Accessed August 27, 2022.
<https://bitterwinter.org/abe-shinzo-offered-a-magic-mirror-to-the-pope/>.

Richardson, James T. 1993. “A Social Psychological Critique of ‘Brainwashing’ Claims About Recruitment to New Religions.” In *The Handbook of Cults and Sects in America*, edited by David G. Bromley and Jeffrey K. Hadden, 75–97. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

Richardson, James T. 2015. “Brainwashing and Mental Health.” In *Encyclopedia of Mental Health*, edited by Howard Freedman, 210–16. New York: Academic Press.

The Japan Times. 2022a. “Suspect Test-Fired Gun Used to Kill Abe near Unification Church Facility: Sources.” July 16. Accessed August 26, 2022.
<https://www.japantimes.co.jp/news/2022/07/16/national/crime-legal/abe-assassination-gun-test-fired/>.

The Japan Times. 2022b. “Over 100 Japan Lawmakers Had Links with Unification Church: Survey.” August 14. Accessed August 26, 2022.
<https://www.japantimes.co.jp/news/2022/08/14/national/politics-diplomacy/unification-church-links-survey/>.

Tokyo District Court. 2021. March 1. Judgment 2016 (wa) 21355. Copy in the archives of the author.

United Nations Human Rights Committee. 1993. *CCPR General Comment No. 22: Article 18 (Freedom of Thought, Conscience or Religion)*. Geneva: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights.

U.S. Department of State Bureau of Democracy, Human, Right, and Labor.
“International Religious Freedom Report 2010: Japan.” Accessed August 26, 2022.
<https://2009-2017.state.gov/j/drl/rls/irf/2010/148871.htm>.

Wu, Junqing. 2016. “Words and Concepts in Chinese Religious Denunciation: A Study of the Genealogy of Xiejiao.” *The Chinese Historical Review* 23(1):1–22.

Wu, Junqing. 2017. *Mandarins and Heretics: The Constructions of “Heresy” in Chinese State Discourse*, Leiden: Brill.

Yamagami, Tetsuya. 2022. “山上容疑者の手紙 [全文] ・書き込み = 安倍元首相銃撃”
(Suspect Yamagami’s Letter [Full Text] and Notes = Former Prime Minister Abe's Shooting). *The Headline*, July 18. Accessed August 26, 2022.
<https://www.theheadline.jp/articles/659>.

Yoemoto, Kazuhiro. *我らの不快な隣人* (Our Unpleasant Neighborhoods). Tokyo: K.K. Joho Shuppan Center

[Put your name, address, email address and phone here]

<Date of the letter>

His Excellency TOMITA Koji
Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary
Embassy of Japan
2520 Massachusetts Ave, NW
Washington DC, 20008

Dear Ambassador Tomita:

I am writing to ask your help. I have friends and family **(PUT NAMES OF FAMILY OR CHURCH FRIENDS HERE)** in Japan who are being persecuted for their religious faith. They are members of the Unification Church who are in danger of having their church dissolved by the government even though the church has broken no laws. The Prime Minister and the courts may decide to act in violation of the Japanese Constitution's guarantees of religious freedom. Again, the Unification Church has been thoroughly investigated by the government and they have determined that the church has not done anything illegal. **The State Department's 2022 Report on International Religious Freedom in Japan said Unification Members there received death threats.**

I am deeply concerned. In the past twenty years, more than 4,300 members of our church have been illegally kidnapped and forcibly detained in attempts to break their faith.

I hope you can take a few minutes to read the attached bipartisan letter from Democrat Congresswoman Loretta Sanchez (1997-2017) and Republican Congressman Dan Burton (1983-2013) and Dr. Michael Jenkins, President of Universal Peace Federation International, which details not only their own concerns about the situation in Japan, but also the concerns of Danny Davis (D-IL) and Amb. Rashad Hussain, the current Ambassador-at-Large for the State Department's Office of International Religious Freedom.

If you want further information, I also include the complaint filed with the UN Human Rights Committee on September 9, 2022 by the Coordination of Associations and Individuals for the Liberty of Conscience (CAP-LC)

I am grateful for your kind attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

<Your Name>

enclosed: Letter from Congresspersons Loretta, Sanchez, Dan Burton and Dr. Michael Jenkins
CAP-LC, UN Human Rights Committee